arXiv:1612.04241v1 [math.AP] 9 Dec 2016

WELL-POSEDNESS FOR THE CAUCHY PROBLEM OF THE KLEIN-GORDON-ZAKHAROV SYSTEM IN 2D

SHINYA KINOSHITA

Abstract. This paper is concerned with the Cauchy problem of 2D Klein-Gordon-Zakharov system with very low regularity initial data. We prove the bilinear estimates which are crucial to get the local in time well-posedness. The estimates are established by the Fourier restriction norm method. We utilize the bilinear Strichartz estimates and the nonlinear version of the classical Loomis-Whitney inequality which was applied to Zakharov system.

1. Introduction

We consider the Cauchy problem of the Klein-Gordon-Zakharov system:

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 - \Delta + 1)u = -nu, & (t,x) \in [-T,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d, \\ (\partial_t^2 - c^2\Delta)n = \Delta|u|^2, & (t,x) \in [-T,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d, \\ (u, \partial_t u, n, \partial_t n)|_{t=0} = (u_0, u_1, n_0, n_1) \\ \qquad\qquad \in H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \times H^s(\mathbb{R}^d) \times \dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^d) \times \dot{H}^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}^d), \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where u, n are real valued functions, $0 < c < 1$. As a physical model, (1.1) describes the interaction of the Langmuir wave and the ion acoustic wave in a plasma. The condition $0 < c < 1$, which plays an important role in the paper, comes from a physical phenomenon. There are some works on the Cauchy problem of (1.1) in low regularity Sobolev spaces. For 3D, Ozawa, Tsutaya and Tsutsumi [10] proved that (1.1) is globally well-posed in the energy space $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \times \dot{H}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. As they mentioned in [10] that if $c = 1$, (1.1) is very similar to the Cauchy problem of the following quadratic derivative nonlinear wave equation.

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 - \Delta)u = uDu, & (t,x) \in [-T,T] \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ (u, \partial_t u)|_{t=0} = (u_0, u_1) \in H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}^3) \times H^s(\mathbb{R}^3). \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35Q55, 35A01.

Key words and phrases. well-posedness, Cauchy problem, low regularity, bilinear estimate, Strichartz estimate.

For $s > 0$, the local well-posedness of (1.2) was obtained from the iteration argument by using the Strichartz estimates. As opposed to that, it is known that (1.2) is ill-posed for $s \leq 0$ by the works of Lindblad [8]-[9]. In [10], the authors showed that the difference between the propagation speeds of the two equations in (1.1) enable us to get the better result. That is, they applied the Fourier restriction norm method and obtained the local well-posedness of (1.1) in the energy space, and then, by using energy method, they extended an existent time of a local solution globally in time. For 4 and more dimensions, I. Kato [5] recently proved that (1.1) is locally well-posed at $s = 1/4$ when $d = 4$ and $s = s_c + 1/(d+1)$ when $d \geq 5$ where $s_c = d/2 - 2$ is the critical exponent of (1.1). He also proved that if the initial data are radially symmetric then the small data globally well-posedness can be obtained at the scaling critical regularity. He utilized the U^2, V^2 spaces introduced by Koch-Tataru [7]. We would like to emphasize that the above results hold under the condition $0 < c < 1$. Our aim in this paper is to get the local well-posedness of (1.1) at very low regularity s in 2 dimensions. Hereafter we assume $d = 2$.

By the transformation $u_\pm := \omega_1 u \pm i\partial_t u, n_\pm := n \pm i(c\omega)^{-1}\partial_t n, \omega_1 := (1 - \Delta)^{1/2}, \omega := (-\Delta)^{1/2}$, (1.1) can be written as follows;.

$$\begin{cases} (i\partial_t \mp \omega_1)u_\pm = \pm(1/4)(n_+ + n_-)(\omega_1^{-1}u_+ + \omega_1^{-1}u_-), \quad (t,x) \in [-T,T] \times \mathbb{R}^2, \\ (i\partial_t \mp c\omega)n_\pm = \pm(4c)^{-1}\omega|\omega_1^{-1}u_+ + \omega_1^{-1}u_-|^2, \qquad (t,x) \in [-T,T] \times \mathbb{R}^2, \\ (u_\pm, n_\pm)|_{t=0} = (u_{\pm 0}, n_{\pm 0}) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^2) \times \dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^2). \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

We state our main result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $-\frac{13}{18} < s < 0$. Then (1.3) is locally well-posed in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^2) \times \dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$.*

We make a comment on Theorem 1.1. Applying the iteration argument by the usual Strichartz estimates, we get the local well-posedness of (1.3) for $-1/4 \leq s$. This suggests that if $c = 1$ the minimal regularity such that the well-posedness of (1.3) holds seems to be $-1/4$. If we utilize the condition $0 < c < 1$ in the same way as in [10] and [5] with minor modification, we can show that (1.3) is local well-posed only for $s > -1/2$. We find that the known arguments is not enough to get the well-posedness for $s \leq -1/2$ which is the most difficult case. To overcome this, we employ the new estimate which was introduced in [3] and applied to Zakharov system in [1] and [2]. Zakharov system is composed of two equations, wave equation

and Schrödinger equation;

$$\begin{cases} (i\partial_t + \Delta)u = nu, & (t,x) \in \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^d, \\ (\partial_t^2 - \Delta)n = \Delta|u|^2, & (t,x) \in \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^d. \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

Roughly speaking, comparing (1.1) and (1.4), the two systems have the similar structure, which suggests that we might get the well-posedness of (1.3) for $s \le -1/2$ in the same way as in [1] and [2]. This is our motivation.

We will prove Theorem 1.1 by the iteration argument in the spaces $X_{\pm}^{s,b}(\mathbb{R}^3) \times X_{\pm,c}^{s,b}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. This spaces are defined as follows;

Let N, $L \ge 1$ be dyadic numbers. χ_Ω denotes the characteristic function of a set Ω. We define the dyadic decompositions of $\mathbb{R}^3$.

$$K_{N,L}^{\pm} := \{(\tau,\xi) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | N \le \langle \xi \rangle \le 2N, L \le \langle \tau \pm |\xi| \rangle \le 2L\},$$
$$K_{N,L}^{\pm,c} := \{(\tau,\xi) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | N \le \langle \xi \rangle \le 2N, L \le \langle \tau \pm c|\xi| \rangle \le 2L\}.$$

By using $K_{N,L}^{\pm}$, $K_{N,L}^{\pm,c}$, we define the dyadic decomposition in Fourier side;

$$P_{K_{N,L}^{\pm}} := \mathcal{F}_{t,x}^{-1} \chi_{K_{N,L}^{\pm}} \mathcal{F}_{t,x}, \quad P_{K_{N,L}^{\pm,c}} := \mathcal{F}_{t,x}^{-1} \chi_{K_{N,L}^{\pm,c}} \mathcal{F}_{t,x}.$$

We now introduce the solution spaces. Let $s, b \in \mathbb{R}$. We define $X_{\pm}^{s,b}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $X_{\pm,c}^{s,b}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ as follows;

$$X_{\pm}^{s,b}(\mathbb{R}^3) := \{f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^3) \mid \|f\|_{X_{\pm}^{s,b}} < \infty\},$$
$$X_{\pm,c}^{s,b}(\mathbb{R}^3) := \{f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^3) \mid \|f\|_{X_{\pm,c}^{s,b}} < \infty\},$$
$$\|f\|_{X_{\pm}^{s,b}} = \left(\sum_{N,L} N^{2s} L^{2b} \|P_{K_{N,L}^{\pm}} f\|_{L_{x,t}^2}^2 \right)^{1/2},$$
$$\|f\|_{X_{\pm,c}^{s,b}} = \left(\sum_{N,L} N^{2s} L^{2b} \|P_{K_{N,L}^{\pm,c}} f\|_{L_{x,t}^2}^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

The key estimates to prove Theorem 1.1 are the following.

Theorem 1.2. *For any $s \in \left(-\frac{13}{18},\ 0\right)$, there exists $b \in (1/2, 1)$ and C which depends on c such that*

$$\|u(\omega_1^{-1}v)\|_{X_{\pm_2}^{s,b-1}} \le C\|u\|_{X_{\pm_0,c}^{s,b}} \|v\|_{X_{\pm_1}^{s,b}}, \tag{1.5}$$
$$\|\omega_1((\omega_1^{-1}u)(\omega_1^{-1}v))\|_{X_{\pm_0,c}^{s,b-1}} \le C\|u\|_{X_{\pm_1}^{s,b}} \|v\|_{X_{\pm_2}^{s,b}}. \tag{1.6}$$

regardless of the choice of signs $\pm_j$.

Remark 1.1. In fact, the bilinear estimates naturally derived from (1.3) are slightly different from (1.5)-(1.6). They are described as follows;

$$\|u(\omega_1^{-1}v)\|_{X^{s,\,b-1}_{\pm_2}} \leq C\|\omega^s u\|_{X^{0,\,b}_{\pm_0,\,c}}\|v\|_{X^{s,\,b}_{\pm_1}}, \tag{1.7}$$

$$\|\omega^{s+1}((\omega_1^{-1}u)(\omega_1^{-1}v))\|_{X^{0,\,b-1}_{\pm_0,\,c}} \leq C\|u\|_{X^{s,\,b}_{\pm_1}}\|v\|_{X^{s,\,b}_{\pm_2}}. \tag{1.8}$$

It is easily confirmed that (1.5) and (1.6) are strict compared with (1.7) and (1.8), respectively. We also mention that it might be natural that we use $\langle\tau \pm \langle\xi\rangle\rangle$ instead of $\langle\tau \pm |\xi|\rangle$ in the definition of $K^{\pm}_{N,L}$. As was seen in [10], the two weights are equivalent and therefore $X^{s,\,b}_{\pm}$ does not depend on the choice of the two in the definition of $K^{\pm}_{N,L}$.

Once Theorem 1.2 is verified, we can obtain Theorem 1.1 by the iteration argument given in [4] and many other papers. For example, see [6], [12]. Therefore we focus on the proof of Theorem 1.2 in this paper.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some fundamental estimates and property of the solution spaces as preliminary. In Section 3, we show (1.5) and (1.6) with $\pm_1 = \pm_2$ which is easier case compared to $\pm_1 \neq \pm_2$. Lastly as Section 4, we prove (1.5) and (1.6) with $\pm_1 \neq \pm_2$, and complete the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Acknowledgement

The author appreciates Professor M. Sugimoto, Professor K. Tsugawa and Professor I. Kato for giving many useful advices to the author. The author is supported by Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Research Fellow 16J11453.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce some estimates which will be utilized for the proof of Theorem 1.2. Throughout the paper, we use the following notations. $A \lesssim B$ means that there exists $C > 0$ such that $A \leq CB$. Also, $A \sim B$ means $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$. It should be emphasized that the sign $\lesssim$ frequently depends on $1 - c$ in the paper. If we are planning to observe that how a local solution obtained by Theorem (1.1) behaves as c approach to 1, we should describe a manner of dependence explicitly. Since we, however, focus our attention to get the well-posedness in this paper, we omit the details. Let $u = u(t, x)$. $\mathcal{F}_t u$, $\mathcal{F}_x u$ denote the Fourier transform of u in time, space, respectively. $\mathcal{F}_{t,\,x}u = \widehat{u}$ denotes the Fourier transform of u in space

and time. We first observe that fundamental properties of $X_{\pm}^{s,b}$ and $X_{\pm,c}^{s,b}$. A simple calculation gives the followings;

$$(i)\ \ \overline{X_{\pm}^{s,b}} = X_{\mp}^{s,b}, \qquad \overline{X_{\pm,c}^{s,b}} = X_{\mp,c}^{s,b}.$$
$$(ii)\ \ (X_{\pm}^{s,b})^* = X_{\mp}^{-s,-b}, \quad (X_{\pm,c}^{s,b})^* = X_{\mp,c}^{-s,-b},$$

for s, $b \in \mathbb{R}$. Next we define the angular decomposition of $\mathbb{R}^3$ in frequency. For a dyadic number $A \geq 64$ and an integer $j \in [-A,\ A-1]$, we define the sets $\{\mathfrak{D}_j^A\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ as follows;

$$\mathfrak{D}_j^A = \left\{ (\tau, |\xi|\cos\theta, |\xi|\sin\theta) \in \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^2 \mid \theta \in \left[\frac{\pi}{A}\, j,\ \frac{\pi}{A}(j+1)\right] \right\}.$$

For any function $u : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{C}$, $\{\mathfrak{D}_j^A\}$ satisfy

$$\mathbb{R}^3 = \bigcup_{-A\leq j\leq A-1} \mathfrak{D}_j^A, \qquad u = \sum_{j=-A}^{A-1} \chi_{\mathfrak{D}_j^A} u \quad a.e.$$

Lastly we introduce the useful two estimates which are called the bilinear Strichartz estimates. The first one holds true regardless of c. As opposed to that, the second one is given by using the condition $0 < c < 1$. The first estimate is obtained by the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 2.1 in [11]. We omit the proof.

Proposition 2.1 (Theorem 2.1. [11])**.**

$$\begin{aligned}\|P_{K_{N_0,L_0}^{\pm_0}(K_{N_0,L_0}^{\pm_0,c})}((P_{K_{N_1,L_1}^{\pm_1}(K_{N_1,L_1}^{\pm_1,c})}f)(P_{K_{N_2,L_2}^{\pm_2}(K_{N_2,L_2}^{\pm_2,c})}g))\|_{L_{x,t}^2} \\ \lesssim (N_{\min}^{012}L_{\min}^{12})^{1/2}(N_{\min}^{12}L_{\max}^{12})^{1/4}\|f\|_{L_{x,t}^2}\|g\|_{L_{x,t}^2}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

regardless of the choice of signs $\pm_j$*. Here* $N_{\min}^{012} := \min(N_0, N_1, N_2)$*, and* $N_{\min}^{12}$*,* $L_{\min}^{12}$*,* $L_{\max}^{12}$ *are defined similarly.*

Proposition 2.2.

$$\|P_{K_{N_0,L_0}^{\pm_0}}((P_{K_{N_1,L_1}^{\pm_1,c}}f)(P_{K_{N_2,L_2}^{\pm_2}}g))\|_{L_{x,t}^2} \lesssim (N_{\min}^{012}L_1L_2)^{1/2}\|f\|_{L_{x,t}^2}\|g\|_{L_{x,t}^2} \tag{2.2}$$

holds regardless of the choice of $\pm_j$*.*

Proof. Let $A = 2^{10}(1-c)^{-1/2}$. From Plancherel theorem, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\|P_{K_{N_0,L_0}^{\pm_0}}\left((P_{K_{N_1,L_1}^{\pm_1,c}}f)(P_{K_{N_2,L_2}^{\pm_2}}g)\right)\|_{L_{x,t}^2} \\ \sim \|\chi_{K_{N_0,L_0}^{\pm_0}}\left(\left(\chi_{K_{N_1,L_1}^{\pm_1,c}}\widehat{f}\right) * \left(\chi_{K_{N_2,L_2}^{\pm_2}}\widehat{g}\right)\right)\|_{L_{\xi,\tau}^2} \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

where $*$ denotes the convolution of $\mathbb{R}^3$. It follows from the finiteness of A and

$$\widehat{g} = \sum_{j=-A}^{A-1} \chi_{\mathfrak{D}_j^A}\widehat{g} \quad a.e.$$

that we can replace $\widehat{g}$ with $\chi_{\mathfrak{D}_j^A}\widehat{g}$ in (2.2) for fixed j. After applying rotation in space, we may assume that $j = 0$. Also we can assume that there exists $\xi' \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that the support of $\chi_{\mathfrak{D}_j^A}\widehat{g}$ is contained in the column

$$C_{N_{\min}^{012}}(\xi') := \{(\tau, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid |\xi - \xi'| \le N_{\min}^{012}\}.$$

We sketch the validity of the above assumption roughly. See [12] for more details. If $N_2 \sim N_{\min}^{012}$ the above assumption is harmless obviously. Therefore we may assume that $N_0 = N_{\min}^{012} \ll N_2$ or $N_1 = N_{\min}^{012} \ll N_2$. Since both are treated similarly, we here consider only the former case. Note that the condition $N_0 \ll N_2$ means $N_2/2 \le N_1 \le 2N_2$, otherwise (2.3) vanishes. We can choose the two sets $\{C_{N_{\min}^{012}}(\xi'_k)\}_k$ and $\{C_{N_{\min}^{012}}(\xi''_\ell)\}_\ell$ such that

$$\#k \sim \frac{N_1}{N_0}, \qquad \operatorname{supp} \chi_{\mathfrak{D}_0^A}\widehat{g} \subset \bigcup_k C_{N_{\min}^{012}}(\xi'_k), \qquad |\xi'_k - \xi'_{k'}| \ge N_{\min}^{012} \text{ for any } k, k',$$

$$\#\ell \sim \frac{N_1}{N_0}, \qquad \operatorname{supp} \widehat{f} \subset \bigcup_\ell C_{N_{\min}^{012}}(\xi''_\ell), \qquad |\xi''_\ell - \xi''_{\ell'}| \ge N_{\min}^{012} \text{ for any } \ell, \ell',$$

where $\#k$ and $\#\ell$ denote the numbers of k and ℓ, respectively. It is easily verified that for fixed k there is only a finite number of ℓ which satisfy

$$\left\| \chi_{K_{N_0,L_0}^{\pm 0}} \left(\left(\chi_{K_{N_1,L_1}^{\pm 1,c} \cap C_{N_{\min}^{012}}(\xi'_k)} \widehat{f} \right) * \left(\chi_{K_{N_2,L_2}^{\pm 2} \cap C_{N_{\min}^{012}}(\xi''_\ell) \cap \mathfrak{D}_0^A} \widehat{g} \right) \right) \right\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}} > 0,$$

and vice versa. This means that k and ℓ depend on each other. Once we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \chi_{K_{N_0,L_0}^{\pm 0}} \left(\left(\chi_{K_{N_1,L_1}^{\pm 1,c} \cap C_{N_{\min}^{012}}(\xi'_k)} \widehat{f} \right) * \left(\chi_{K_{N_2,L_2}^{\pm 2} \cap C_{N_{\min}^{012}}(\xi''_{\ell(k)}) \cap \mathfrak{D}_0^A} \widehat{g} \right) \right) \right\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}} \\ &\qquad \lesssim (N_{\min}^{012} L_1 L_2)^{1/2} \|f\|_{L^2_{x,t}} \|g\|_{L^2_{x,t}} \end{aligned}$$

for fixed k, from Minkowski inequality and ℓ^2 almost orthogonality, we confirm

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\chi_{K_{N_0,L_0}^{\pm 0}} \left(\left(\chi_{K_{N_1,L_1}^{\pm 1,c}} \widehat{f} \right) * \left(\chi_{K_{N_2,L_2}^{\pm 2}} \widehat{g} \right) \right) \|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}} \\ \lesssim &\sum_k \left\| \chi_{K_{N_0,L_0}^{\pm 0}} \left(\left(\chi_{K_{N_1,L_1}^{\pm 1,c} \cap C_{N_{\min}^{012}}(\xi'_k)} \widehat{f} \right) * \left(\chi_{K_{N_2,L_2}^{\pm 2} \cap C_{N_{\min}^{012}}(\xi''_{\ell(k)}) \cap \mathfrak{D}_0^A} \widehat{g} \right) \right) \right\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}} \\ \lesssim &(N_{\min}^{012} L_1 L_2)^{1/2} \sum_k \|\chi_{C_{N_{\min}^{012}}(\xi'_k)} \widehat{f}\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}} \|\chi_{C_{N_{\min}^{012}}(\xi''_{\ell(k)})} \widehat{g}\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}} \\ \lesssim &(N_{\min}^{012} L_1 L_2)^{1/2} \|f\|_{L^2_{x,t}} \|g\|_{L^2_{x,t}}, \end{aligned}$$

which verify the validity of the assumption. Hereafter, we call the above argument "ℓ^2 almost orthogonality".

Now we turn to the proof of (2.2).

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\chi_{K^{\pm_0}_{N_0,L_0}}\left(\left(\chi_{K^{\pm_1,c}_{N_1,L_1}}\widehat{f}\right)*\left(\chi_{K^{\pm_2}_{N_2,L_2}\cap\mathfrak{D}_0^A}\widehat{g}\right)\right)\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}}\\
\lesssim&\|\chi_{K^{\pm_0}_{N_0,L_0}}(\tau,\xi)\int\left(\chi_{K^{\pm_1,c}_{N_1,L_1}}\widehat{f}\right)(\tau-\tau_1,\xi-\xi_1)\left(\chi_{K^{\pm_2}_{N_2,L_2}\cap\mathfrak{D}_0^A}\widehat{g}\right)(\tau_1,\xi_1)d\tau_1 d\xi_1\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}}\\
\lesssim&\|\chi_{K^{\pm_0}_{N_0,L_0}}(\tau,\xi)\left(\int(\widehat{f})^2(\tau-\tau_1,\xi-\xi_1)(\widehat{g})^2(\tau_1,\xi_1)d\tau_1 d\xi_1\right)^{1/2}(E(\tau,\xi))^{1/2}\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}}\\
\lesssim&\sup_{(\tau,\xi)\in K^{\pm_0}_{N_0,L_0}}|E(\tau,\xi)|^{1/2}\|(\widehat{f})^2*(\widehat{g})^2\|^{1/2}_{L^1_{\xi,\tau}}\\
\lesssim&\sup_{(\tau,\xi)\in K^{\pm_0}_{N_0,L_0}}|E(\tau,\xi)|^{1/2}\|f\|_{L^2_{x,t}}\|g\|_{L^2_{x,t}}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$E(\tau,\xi):=\{(\tau_1,\xi_1)\in C_{N^{012}_{\min}}(\xi')\cap\mathfrak{D}_0^A\mid\langle\tau-\tau_1\pm c|\xi-\xi_1|\rangle\sim L_1,\langle\tau_1\pm|\xi_1|\rangle\sim L_2\}.$$

Thus it suffices to show that

$$\sup_{\tau,\xi}|E(\tau,\xi)|\lesssim N^{012}_{\min}L_1L_2. \tag{2.4}$$

From $\langle\tau-\tau_1\pm c|\xi-\xi_1|\rangle\sim L_1$ and $\langle\tau_1\pm|\xi_1|\rangle\sim L_2$, for fixed ξ_1,

$$|\{\tau_1\mid(\tau_1,\xi_1)\in E(\tau,\xi)\}|\lesssim L^{12}_{\min}. \tag{2.5}$$

It follows from $(\tau_1,\xi_1)\in\mathfrak{D}_0^A$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\partial_1(\tau\pm|\xi_1|\pm c|\xi-\xi_1|)|&\geq\frac{(\xi_1)_1}{|\xi_1|}-c\\
&\geq\left(\frac{(\xi_1)_1}{|\xi_1|}\right)^2-c\\
&=1-c-\left(\frac{(\xi_1)_2}{|\xi_1|}\right)^2\\
&\geq(1-c)/2.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Combining $|\tau\pm|\xi_1|\pm c|\xi-\xi_1||\lesssim L^{12}_{\max}$ with (2.6), for fixed $(\xi_1)_2$ we have

$$|\{(\xi_1)_1\mid(\tau_1,\xi_1)\in E(\tau,\xi)\}|\lesssim L^{12}_{\max}. \tag{2.7}$$

Collecting (2.5), (2.7) and $\xi_1\in C_{N^{012}_{\min}}(\xi')$, we get (2.4). □

3. Proof of Theorem 1.2 for $\pm_1 = \pm_2$.

In (1.5)-(1.6), replacing u and n with its complex conjugates $\bar{u}$ and $\bar{v}$ respectively, we easily find that there is no difference between the case $(\pm_0, \pm_1, \pm_2)$ and $(\mp_0, \mp_1, \mp_2)$. Here $\mp_j$ denotes a different sign to $\pm_j$. Therefore we assume $\pm_1 = -$ in (1.5)-(1.6) hereafter. By the dual argument, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
(1.5) &\iff \left| \int f(\omega_1^{-1} g_1) g_2 dtdx \right| \le C \|f\|_{X^{s,b}_{\pm_0,c}} \|g_1\|_{X^{s,b}_{-}} \|g_2\|_{X^{-s,1-b}_{\pm_2}}. \\
&\iff \sum_{N_j, L_j (j=0,1,2)} \left| N_1^{-1} \int (P_{K^{\pm,c}_{N_0,L_0}} f)(P_{K^{\pm}_{N_1,L_1}} g_1)(P_{K^{\pm}_{N_2,L_2}} g_2) dtdx \right| \\
&\qquad\qquad \lesssim \|f\|_{X^{s,b}_{\pm_0,c}} \|g_1\|_{X^{s,b}_{-}} \|g_2\|_{X^{-s,1-b}_{\pm_2}}. \\
&\iff \left(\sum_{N_1} \sum_{1 \le N_0 \lesssim N_1 \sim N_2} + \sum_{N_0} \sum_{1 \le N_1 \lesssim N_0 \sim N_2} + \sum_{N_0} \sum_{1 \le N_2 \lesssim N_0 \sim N_1} \right) I_1 \\
&\qquad\qquad \lesssim \|f\|_{X^{s,b}_{\pm_0,c}} \|g_1\|_{X^{s,b}_{-}} \|g_2\|_{X^{-s,1-b}_{\pm_2}}, \qquad (3.1)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$I_1 := \sum_{L_j} \left| N_1^{-1} \int (P_{K^{\pm_0,c}_{N_0,L_0}} f)(P_{K^{-}_{N_1,L_1}} g_1)(P_{K^{\pm_2}_{N_2,L_2}} g_2) dtdx \right|.$$

Similarly, (1.6) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\sum_{N_1} \sum_{1 \le N_0 \lesssim N_1 \sim N_2} + \sum_{N_0} \sum_{1 \le N_1 \lesssim N_0 \sim N_2} + \sum_{N_0} \sum_{1 \le N_2 \lesssim N_0 \sim N_1} \right) I_2 \\
&\qquad\qquad \lesssim \|f\|_{X^{-s,1-b}_{\pm_0,c}} \|g_1\|_{X^{s,b}_{-}} \|g_2\|_{X^{s,b}_{\pm_2}}, \qquad (3.2)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$I_2 := \sum_{L_j} \left| N_0 N_1^{-1} N_2^{-1} \int (P_{K^{\pm_0,c}_{N_0,L_0}} f)(P_{K^{-}_{N_1,L_1}} g_1)(P_{K^{\pm_2}_{N_2,L_2}} g_2) dtdx \right|.$$

We now try to establish (3.1) and (3.2). First we assume that $\pm_2 = -$. In this case, we can obtain (3.1) and (3.2) by using the bilinear Strichartz estimates Propositions 2.1, 2.2 and the following estimate:

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\tau = \tau_1 + \tau_2$, $\xi = \xi_1 + \xi_2$. Then we have*

$$\max(\langle \tau \pm_0 c|\xi| \rangle,\ \langle \tau_1 - |\xi_1| \rangle,\ \langle \tau_2 - |\xi_2| \rangle) \gtrsim \max(|\xi_1|,\ |\xi_2|). \qquad (3.3)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\max(\langle\tau \pm_0 c|\xi|\rangle,\ \langle\tau_1 - |\xi_1|\rangle,\ \langle\tau_2 - |\xi_2|\rangle) &\geq |\tau \pm_0 c|\xi| - (\tau_1 - |\xi_1|) - (\tau_2 - |\xi_2|)| \\ &\geq ||\xi_1| + |\xi_2| - c|\xi|| \\ &\geq |\xi_1| + |\xi_2| - c(|\xi_1| + |\xi_2|) \\ &= (1-c)(|\xi_1| + |\xi_2|)\end{aligned}$$

□

For simplicity, we use $f^{\pm,c} := P_{K^{\pm,c}_{N_0,L_0}} f$, $g^-_k := P_{K^-_{N_k,L_k}} g$ for $k = 1, 2$.

Theorem 3.2. *For any $s \in (-\frac{13}{18},\ 0)$, there exists $b \in (1/2,\ 1)$ such that for $f, g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^2)$, the following estimates hold:*

$$\left(\sum_{N_1}\sum_{1\leq N_0\lesssim N_1\sim N_2} + \sum_{N_0}\sum_{1\leq N_1\lesssim N_0\sim N_2} + \sum_{N_0}\sum_{1\leq N_2\lesssim N_0\sim N_1}\right) I_1^- \lesssim \|f\|_{X^{s,\,b}_{\pm,\,c}} \|g_1\|_{X^{s,\,b}_-} \|g_2\|_{X^{-s,\,1-b}_-}, \tag{3.4}$$

$$\left(\sum_{N_1}\sum_{1\leq N_0\lesssim N_1\sim N_2} + \sum_{N_0}\sum_{1\leq N_1\lesssim N_0\sim N_2} + \sum_{N_0}\sum_{1\leq N_2\lesssim N_0\sim N_1}\right) I_2^- \lesssim \|f\|_{X^{-s,\,1-b}_{\pm,\,c}} \|g_1\|_{X^{s,\,b}_-} \|g_2\|_{X^{s,\,b}_-}, \tag{3.5}$$

where

$$I_1^- := \sum_{L_j} \left| N_1^{-1} \int (P_{K^{\pm,c}_{N_0,L_0}} f)(P_{K^-_{N_1,L_1}} g_1)(P_{K^-_{N_2,L_2}} g_2)dtdx \right|,$$

$$I_2^- := \sum_{L_j} \left| N_0 N_1^{-1} N_2^{-1} \int (P_{K^{\pm,c}_{N_0,L_0}} f)(P_{K^-_{N_1,L_1}} g_1)(P_{K^-_{N_2,L_2}} g_2)dtdx \right|.$$

Proof. Since the proof of (3.5) is analogous to that of (3.4), we establish only (3.4). From Lemma 3.1, it holds that $L^{012}_{\max} \gtrsim N^{12}_{\max}$. We decompose the proof into the three cases:
(I) $1 \leq N_0 \lesssim N_1 \sim N_2$, (II) $1 \leq N_1 \lesssim N_0 \sim N_2$, (III) $1 \leq N_2 \lesssim N_0 \sim N_1$.

First we consider the case (I). Considering that $L^{012}_{\max} \gtrsim N^{12}_{\max}$, we subdivide the cases further:

(Ia) $N_1 \lesssim L_0$. We deduce from Hölder inequality and Proposition 2.1 that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{N_1}\sum_{1\le N_0\lesssim N_1\sim N_2}\sum_{L_j}\left|N_1^{-1}\int f^{\pm,c}g_1^-g_2^-dtdx\right|\\
\lesssim&\sum_{N_1}\sum_{1\le N_0\lesssim N_1\sim N_2}\sum_{L_j}N_1^{-1}\|f^{\pm,c}\|_{L^2_{x,t}}\|P_{K^{\pm}_{N_0,L_0}}(g_1^-\ g_2^-)\|_{L^2_{x,t}}\\
\lesssim&\sum_{N_1}\sum_{1\le N_0\lesssim N_1\sim N_2}\sum_{L_1,L_2}N_1^{-1}N_0^{1/2}N_1^{1/4}L_1^{1/2}L_2^{1/4}N_1^{-b}\|f^{\pm,c}\|_{X^{0,b}_{\pm,c}}\|g_1^-\|_{L^2_{x,t}}\|g_2^-\|_{L^2_{x,t}}\\
\lesssim&\sum_{N_1}\sum_{1\le N_0\lesssim N_1\sim N_2}N_0^{1/2-s}N_1^{-3/4-b}N_0^s\|f^{\pm,c}\|_{X^{0,b}_{\pm,c}}N_1^s\|g_1^-\|_{X^{0,b}_-}N_2^{-s}\|g_2^-\|_{X^{0,1-b}_-}\\
\lesssim&\|f\|_{X^{s,\,b}_{\pm,\,c}}\|g_1\|_{X^{s,\,b}_-}\|g_2\|_{X^{-s,\,1-b}_-}.
\end{aligned}$$

(Ib) $N_1 \lesssim L_1$. Similarly, from Hölder inequality and Proposition 2.1 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{N_1}\sum_{1\le N_0\lesssim N_1\sim N_2}\sum_{L_j}\left|N_1^{-1}\int f^{\pm,c}g_1^-g_2^-dtdx\right|\\
\lesssim&\sum_{N_1}\sum_{1\le N_0\lesssim N_1\sim N_2}\sum_{L_j}N_1^{-1}\|P_{K^-_{N_1,L_1}}(f^{\pm,c}g_2^-)\|_{L^2_{x,t}}\|g_1^-\|_{L^2_{x,t}}\\
\lesssim&\sum_{N_1}\sum_{1\le N_0\lesssim N_1\sim N_2}\sum_{L_0,L_2}N_1^{-1}N_0^{3/4}L_0^{1/2}L_2^{1/4}\|f^{\pm,c}\|_{L^2_{x,t}}N_1^{-b}\|g_1^-\|_{X^{0,b}_-}\|g_2^-\|_{L^2_{x,t}}\\
\lesssim&\sum_{N_1}\sum_{1\le N_0\lesssim N_1\sim N_2}N_0^{3/4-s}N_1^{-1-b}N_0^s\|f^{\pm,c}\|_{X^{0,b}_{\pm,c}}N_1^s\|g_1^-\|_{X^{0,b}_-}N_2^{-s}\|g_2^-\|_{X^{0,1-b}_-}\\
\lesssim&\|f\|_{X^{s,\,b}_{\pm,\,c}}\|g_1\|_{X^{s,\,b}_-}\|g_2\|_{X^{-s,\,1-b}_-}.
\end{aligned}$$

(Ic) $N_1 \lesssim L_2$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{N_1}\sum_{1\le N_0\lesssim N_1\sim N_2}\sum_{L_j}\left|N_1^{-1}\int f^{\pm,c}g_1^-g_2^-dtdx\right|\\
\lesssim&\sum_{N_1}\sum_{1\le N_0\lesssim N_1\sim N_2}\sum_{L_j}N_1^{-1}\|P_{K^-_{N_2,L_2}}(f^{\pm,c}g_1^-)\|_{L^2_{x,t}}\|g_2^-\|_{L^2_{x,t}}\\
\lesssim&\sum_{N_1}\sum_{1\le N_0\lesssim N_1\sim N_2}\sum_{L_0,L_1}N_1^{-1}N_0^{3/4}L_0^{1/2}L_1^{1/4}\|f^{\pm,c}\|_{L^2_{x,t}}\|g_1^-\|_{L^2_{x,t}}N_1^{-1+b}\|g_2^-\|_{X^{0,1-b}_-}\\
\lesssim&\sum_{N_1}\sum_{1\le N_0\lesssim N_1\sim N_2}N_0^{3/4-s}N_1^{-2+b}N_0^s\|f^{\pm,c}\|_{X^{0,b}_{\pm,c}}N_1^s\|g_1^-\|_{X^{0,b}_-}N_2^{-s}\|g_2^-\|_{X^{0,1-b}_-}\\
\lesssim&\|f\|_{X^{s,\,b}_{\pm,\,c}}\|g_1\|_{X^{s,\,b}_-}\|g_2\|_{X^{-s,\,1-b}_-}.
\end{aligned}$$

For the case (II), we can show (3.4) in the same manner as above. We omit the proof. Lastly, we consider the case (III).

(IIIa) $N_0 \lesssim L_0$. We deduce from Hölder inequality and Proposition 2.1 that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{N_0}\sum_{1\le N_2\lesssim N_0\sim N_1}\sum_{L_j}\left|N_1^{-1}\int f^{\pm,c}g_1^-g_2^-dtdx\right|\\
\lesssim&\sum_{N_0}\sum_{1\le N_2\lesssim N_0\sim N_1}\sum_{L_j}N_0^{-1}\|f^{\pm,c}\|_{L^2_{x,t}}\|P_{K^{\pm}_{N_0,L_0}}(g_1^-\ g_2^-)\|_{L^2_{x,t}}\\
\lesssim&\sum_{N_0}\sum_{1\le N_2\lesssim N_0\sim N_1}\sum_{L_1,L_2}N_0^{-1}N_2^{3/4}L_1^{1/2}L_2^{1/4}N_0^{-b}\|f^{\pm,c}\|_{X^{0,b}_{\pm,c}}\|g_1^-\|_{L^2_{x,t}}\|g_2^-\|_{L^2_{x,t}}\\
\lesssim&\sum_{N_0}\sum_{1\le N_2\lesssim N_0\sim N_1}N_0^{-1-b-2s}N_2^{3/4+s}N_0^s\|f^{\pm,c}\|_{X^{0,b}_{\pm,c}}N_1^s\|g_1^-\|_{X^{0,b}_-}N_2^{-s}\|g_2^-\|_{X^{0,1-b}_-}\\
\lesssim&\|f\|_{X^{s,\,b}_{\pm,\,c}}\|g_1\|_{X^{s,\,b}_-}\|g_2\|_{X^{-s,\,1-b}_-}.
\end{aligned}$$

(IIIb) $N_0 \lesssim L_1$. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{N_0}\sum_{1\le N_2\lesssim N_0\sim N_1}\sum_{L_j}\left|N_1^{-1}\int f^{\pm,c}g_1^-g_2^-dtdx\right|\\
\lesssim&\sum_{N_0}\sum_{1\le N_2\lesssim N_0\sim N_1}\sum_{L_j}N_0^{-1}\|P_{K^-_{N_1,L_1}}(f^{\pm,c}g_2^-)\|_{L^2_{x,t}}\|g_1^-\|_{L^2_{x,t}}\\
\lesssim&\sum_{N_0}\sum_{1\le N_2\lesssim N_0\sim N_1}\sum_{L_0,L_2}N_0^{-1}N_2^{3/4}L_0^{1/2}L_2^{1/4}\|f^{\pm,c}\|_{L^2_{x,t}}N_0^{-b}\|g_1^-\|_{X^{0,b}_-}\|g_2^-\|_{L^2_{x,t}}\\
\lesssim&\sum_{N_0}\sum_{1\le N_2\lesssim N_0\sim N_1}N_0^{-1-b-2s}N_2^{3/4+s}N_0^s\|f^{\pm,c}\|_{X^{0,b}_{\pm,c}}N_1^s\|g_1^-\|_{X^{0,b}_-}N_2^{-s}\|g_2^-\|_{X^{0,1-b}_-}\\
\lesssim&\|f\|_{X^{s,\,b}_{\pm,\,c}}\|g_1\|_{X^{s,\,b}_-}\|g_2\|_{X^{-s,\,1-b}_-}.
\end{aligned}$$

(IIIc) $N_0 \lesssim L_2$. In this case, we need to utilize Proposition 2.2 instead of Proposition 2.1.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{N_0}\sum_{1\le N_2\lesssim N_0\sim N_1}\sum_{L_j}\left|N_1^{-1}\int f^{\pm,c}g_1^-g_2^-dtdx\right|\\
\lesssim&\sum_{N_0}\sum_{1\le N_2\lesssim N_0\sim N_1}\sum_{L_j}N_0^{-1}\|P_{K^-_{N_2,L_2}}(f^{\pm,c}g_1^-)\|_{L^2_{x,t}}\|g_2^-\|_{L^2_{x,t}}\\
\lesssim&\sum_{N_0}\sum_{1\le N_2\lesssim N_0\sim N_1}\sum_{L_0,L_1}N_0^{-1}N_2^{1/2}L_0^{1/2}L_1^{1/4}\|f^{\pm,c}\|_{L^2_{x,t}}\|g_1^-\|_{L^2_{x,t}}N_0^{-1+b}\|g_2^-\|_{X^{0,1-b}_-}\\
\lesssim&\sum_{N_0}\sum_{1\le N_2\lesssim N_0\sim N_1}N_0^{-2+b-2s}N_2^{1/2+s}N_0^s\|f^{\pm,c}\|_{X^{0,b}_{\pm,c}}N_1^s\|g_1^-\|_{X^{0,b}_-}N_2^{-s}\|g_2^-\|_{X^{0,1-b}_-}\\
\lesssim&\|f\|_{X^{s,\,b}_{\pm,\,c}}\|g_1\|_{X^{s,\,b}_-}\|g_2\|_{X^{-s,\,1-b}_-}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

4. Proof of Theorem 1.2 for $\pm_1 \neq \pm_2$.

In this section, we establish (3.1) and (3.2) with $\pm_2 = +$. Note that if one of the inequalities $|\xi_2| \leq \frac{1-c}{2(1+c)}|\xi_1|$ and $|\xi_1| \leq \frac{1-c}{2(1+c)}|\xi_2|$ holds, then we observe that for $\tau = \tau_1 + \tau_2$, $\xi = \xi_1 + \xi_2$,

$$\begin{aligned}\max(\langle \tau \pm_0 c|\xi|\rangle,\ \langle \tau_1 - |\xi_1|\rangle,\ \langle \tau_2 + |\xi_2|\rangle) &\geq |\pm c|\xi| - |\xi_1| + |\xi_2||\\ &\geq ||\xi_1| - |\xi_2|| - c|\xi_1| - c|\xi_2|\\ &\geq \frac{1-c}{2}\max(|\xi_1|,\ |\xi_2|)\end{aligned}$$

and we can verify (3.1) and (3.2) by the same proof as in the case $\pm_2 = -$. To avoid redundancy, we omit the proof.

Proposition 4.1. *For any $s \in (-\frac{13}{18},\ 0)$, there exists $b \in (1/2,\ 1)$ such that for $f, g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^2)$, the following estimates hold:*

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(\sum_{N_0}\sum_{1\leq N_1 \ll N_0 \sim N_2} + \sum_{N_0}\sum_{1\leq N_2 \ll N_0 \sim N_1}\right) I_1^+\\ &\qquad\qquad \lesssim \|f\|_{X^{s,\,b}_{\pm,\,c}} \|g_1\|_{X^{s,\,b}_{-}} \|g_2\|_{X^{-s,\,1-b}_{-}},\\ &\left(\sum_{N_0}\sum_{1\leq N_1 \ll N_0 \sim N_2} + \sum_{N_0}\sum_{1\leq N_2 \ll N_0 \sim N_1}\right) I_2^+\\ &\qquad\qquad \lesssim \|f\|_{X^{-s,\,1-b}_{\pm,\,c}} \|g_1\|_{X^{s,\,b}_{-}} \|g_2\|_{X^{s,\,b}_{-}},\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}I_1^+ &:= \sum_{L_j}\left| N_1^{-1}\int (P_{K^{\pm,c}_{N_0,L_0}} f)(P_{K^{-}_{N_1,L_1}} g_1)(P_{K^{+}_{N_2,L_2}} g_2)dtdx\right|,\\ I_2^+ &:= \sum_{L_j}\left| N_0 N_1^{-1} N_2^{-1}\int (P_{K^{\pm,c}_{N_0,L_0}} f)(P_{K^{-}_{N_1,L_1}} g_1)(P_{K^{+}_{N_2,L_2}} g_2)dtdx\right|.\end{aligned}$$

Thanks to Proposition 4.1, we may assume that $1 \leq N_0 \lesssim N_1 \sim N_2$. In this case, we no longer make use of the useful estimate such as (3.3) and, as we mentioned in Introduction, it appears that the bilinear Strichartz estimates Propositions 2.1, 2.2 are not enough to show (3.1) and (3.2). Thus we employ new estimate developed by Bejenaru-Herr-Tataru [3] and applied to Zakharov system in [1], respectively. To describe it precisely, we introduce the decomposition of $\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$ utilized in [3]. For dyadic numbers M_0, M_1, to be chosen later, we decompose $\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$ by the sets

$\{\mathfrak{D}_j^A\}$.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3=&\left\{\angle(\xi_1,\ \xi_2)\le\frac{16}{M_0}\pi\right\}\cup\bigcup_{64\le A\le M_0}\left\{\frac{16}{A}\pi\le\angle(\xi_1,\ \xi_2)\le\frac{32}{A}\pi\right\}\\&\cup\left\{\pi-\frac{16}{M_1}\pi\le\angle(\xi_1,\ \xi_2)\right\}\cup\bigcup_{64\le A\le M_1}\left\{\pi-\frac{32}{A}\pi\le\angle(\xi_1,\ \xi_2)\le\pi-\frac{16}{A}\pi\right\}\\=&\bigcup_{\substack{-M_0\le j_1,j_2\le M_0-1\\|j_1-j_2|\le16}}\mathfrak{D}_{j_1}^{M_0}\times\mathfrak{D}_{j_2}^{M_0}\cup\bigcup_{64\le A\le M_0}\bigcup_{\substack{-A\le j_1,j_2\le A-1\\16\le|j_1-j_2|\le32}}\mathfrak{D}_{j_1}^{A}\times\mathfrak{D}_{j_2}^{A}\\\cup&\bigcup_{\substack{-M_1\le j_1,j_2\le M_1-1\\|j_1-j_2\pm M_1|\le16}}\mathfrak{D}_{j_1}^{M_1}\times\mathfrak{D}_{j_2}^{M_1}\cup\bigcup_{64\le A\le M_1}\bigcup_{\substack{-A\le j_1,j_2\le A+1\\16\le|j_1-j_2\pm A|\le32}}\mathfrak{D}_{j_1}^{A}\times\mathfrak{D}_{j_2}^{A},\end{aligned}$$

where $\angle(\xi_1,\ \xi_2)\in[0,\ \pi]$ is the smaller angle between ξ_1 and ξ_2.

First we assume that $\pi/2\le\angle(\xi_1,\xi_2)\le\pi$. We find that if $\angle(\xi_1,\ \xi_2)$ is sufficiently close to π , then the following helpful inequality holds true.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\tau=\tau_1+\tau_2$, $\xi=\xi_1+\xi_2$ and M_1 be the minimal dyadic number which satisfies*

$$M_1\ge2^7(1-c)^{-\frac12}\frac{(|\xi_1||\xi_2|)^{\frac12}}{|\xi|},\tag{4.1}$$

then for any $(\tau_1,\xi_1)\in\mathfrak{D}_{j_1}^{M_1}$, $(\tau_2,\xi_2)\in\mathfrak{D}_{j_2}^{M_1}$ where $|j_1-j_2\pm M_1|\le16$, the following inequality holds:

$$\max(\langle\tau\pm c|\xi|\rangle,\ \langle\tau_1-|\xi_1|\rangle,\ \langle\tau_2+|\xi_2|\rangle)\gtrsim|\xi|$$

Proof. After rotation, we may assume $\xi_1=(|\xi_1|,\ 0)$, and then $|j_2\pm M_1|\le16$. It follows from the inequality

$$\max(\langle\tau\pm c|\xi|\rangle,\ \langle\tau_1-|\xi_1|\rangle,\ \langle\tau_2+|\xi_2|\rangle)\ge||\xi_1|-|\xi_2||-c|\xi|,$$

it suffices to show $||\xi_1|-|\xi_2||>\sqrt{\frac{1+c}{2}}|\xi|$. Indeed,

$$\sqrt{\frac{1+c}{2}}-c>\frac14(1-c)(1+2c)>\frac{1-c}{4}.$$

From $|j_2\pm M_1|\le16$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}|\xi|^2&=(|\xi_1|+|\xi_2|\cos(\angle(\xi_1,\ \xi_2)))^2+(N_2\sin(\angle(\xi_1,\ \xi_2)))^2\\&<(|\xi_1|-|\xi_2|)^2+2|\xi_1||\xi_2|(1+\cos(\angle(\xi_1,\ \xi_2)))\\&<(|\xi_1|-|\xi_2|)^2+4|\xi_1||\xi_2|(\angle(\xi_1,\ \xi_2))^2\\&<(|\xi_1|-|\xi_2|)^2+\frac{1-c}{2}|\xi|^2,\end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\frac{1+c}{2}|\xi|^2 < (|\xi_1| - |\xi_2|)^2.$$

This completes the proof. □

Next we consider the case $64 \le A \le M_1$ and $16 \le |j_1 - j_2 \pm A| \le 32$.

Proposition 4.3. *Let f, g_1, $g_2 \in L^2$ be satisfy*

$$\operatorname{supp} f \subset K^{\pm,c}_{N_0,L_0}, \quad \operatorname{supp} g_1 \subset \mathfrak{D}^A_{j_1} \cap K^-_{N_1,L_1}, \quad \operatorname{supp} g_2 \subset \mathfrak{D}^A_{j_2} \cap K^+_{N_2,L_2},$$

and $64 \le N_0 \lesssim N_1 \sim N_2$, $64 \le A \le M_1$, $16 \le |j_1 - j_2 \pm A| \le 32$. Then the following estimate holds:

$$\left| \int f(\tau,\xi) g_1(\tau_1,\xi_1) g_2(\tau_2,\xi_2) d\tau_1 d\tau_2 d\xi_1 d\xi_2 \right| \lesssim A^{\frac{5}{4}} (L_0 L_1 L_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}} \|g_1\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}} \|g_2\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}}.$$

For the proof of the above proposition, we introduce the important estimate. See [2] for more general case.

Proposition 4.4 ([3] Corollary 1.5)**.** *Assume that the surface $\tilde{S}_i$ $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ is an open and bounded subset of $\tilde{S}_i^*$ which satisfies the following conditions* (Assumption 1.1 in [3]).

(i) *$\tilde{S}_i^*$ is defined as*

$$\tilde{S}_i^* = \{\tilde{\sigma}_i \in U_i \mid \Phi_i(\tilde{\sigma}_i) = 0, \nabla\Phi_i \neq 0, \Phi_i \in C^{1,1}(U_i)\},$$

for a convex $U_i \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ such that $\operatorname{dist}(\tilde{S}_i, U_i^c) \ge \operatorname{diam}(\tilde{S}_i)$;

(ii) *the unit normal vector field $\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}_i$ on $\tilde{S}_i^*$ satisfies the Hölder condition*

$$\sup_{\tilde{\sigma},\tilde{\sigma}' \in \tilde{S}_i^*} \frac{|\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}(\tilde{\sigma}) - \tilde{\mathfrak{n}}_i(\tilde{\sigma}')|}{|\tilde{\sigma} - \tilde{\sigma}'|} + \frac{|\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}_i(\tilde{\sigma})(\tilde{\sigma} - \tilde{\sigma}')|}{|\tilde{\sigma} - \tilde{\sigma}'|^2} \lesssim 1;$$

(iii) *the matrix $\tilde{N}(\tilde{\sigma}_1, \tilde{\sigma}_2, \tilde{\sigma}_3) = (\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}_1(\tilde{\sigma}_1), \tilde{\mathfrak{n}}_2(\tilde{\sigma}_2), \tilde{\mathfrak{n}}_3(\tilde{\sigma}_3))$ satisfies the transversality condition*

$$\frac{1}{2} \le \det\tilde{N}(\tilde{\sigma}_1, \tilde{\sigma}_2, \tilde{\sigma}_3) \le 1$$

for all $(\tilde{\sigma}_1, \tilde{\sigma}_2, \tilde{\sigma}_3) \in \tilde{S}_1^* \times \tilde{S}_2^* \times \tilde{S}_3^*$.

We also assume $\operatorname{diam}(\tilde{S}_i) \le 1$. Let $T : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ be an invertible, linear map and $S_i = T\tilde{S}_i$. Then for functions $f \in L^2(S_1)$ and $g \in L^2(S_2)$, the restriction of the convolution $f * g$ to S_3 is a well-defined $L^2(S_3)$-function which satisfies

$$\|f * g\|_{L^2(S_3)} \lesssim \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \|f\|_{L^2(S_1)} \|g\|_{L^2(S_2)},$$

where

$$d = \inf_{\sigma_i \in S_i} |\det N(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3)|$$

and $N(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3)$ *is the matrix of the unit normals to* S_i *at* $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3)$.

Remark 4.1. As was mentioned in [3], the condition of S_i^* (i) is used only to ensure the existence of a global representation of S_i as a graph. In the proof of Proposition 4.3, the implicit function theorem and the other conditions may show the existence of such a graph. Thus we will not treat the condition (i) in the proof of Proposition 4.3.

By utilizing Proposition 4.4, we verify Proposition 4.3. We apply the same strategy as of the proof of Proposition 4.4 in [1].

Proof. Applying the transformation $\tau_1 = |\xi_1| + c_1$ and $\tau_2 = |\xi_2| + c_2$ and Fubini's theorem, we find that it suffices to prove

$$\left| \int f(\phi_{c_1}^+(\xi_1) + \phi_{c_2}^-(\xi_2)) g_1(\phi_{c_1}^+(\xi_1)) g_2(\phi_{c_2}^-(\xi_2)) d\xi_1 d\xi_2 \right| \lesssim A^{\frac{5}{4}} \|g_1 \circ \phi_{c_1}^+\|_{L_\xi^2} \|g_2 \circ \phi_{c_2}^-\|_{L_\xi^2} \|f\|_{L_{\xi,\tau}^2}, \tag{4.2}$$

where $f(\tau, \xi)$ is supported in $c_0 \le \tau \pm c|\xi| \le c_0 + 1$ and

$$\phi_{c_k}^{\pm}(\xi) = (\pm|\xi| + c_k, \xi) \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2.$$

First we decompose f by angular localization characteristic functions $\left\{\chi_{\mathfrak{D}_j^{A_1}}\right\}_{j_1=-A_1}^{A_1+1}$ where A_1 is the minimal dyadic number which satisfies $A_1 \ge 2^{20}(1-c)^{-2}A$ and thickened circular localization characteristic functions $\left\{\chi_{\mathbb{S}_\delta^{N_0+k\delta}}\right\}_{k=-\left[\frac{N_0}{2\delta}\right]}^{\left[\frac{N_0}{\delta}\right]+1}$ where $[s]$ denotes the maximal integer which is not greater than $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{S}_\delta^{\xi^0} = \{(\tau, \xi) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \xi^0 \le |\xi| \le \xi^0 + \delta\}$ with $\delta = 2^{-20}(1-c)^2 N_0 A^{-1/2}$ as follows:

$$f = \sum_{k=-\left[\frac{N_0}{2\delta}\right]}^{\left[\frac{N_0}{\delta}\right]+1} \sum_{j_1=-A_1}^{A_1+1} \chi_{\mathbb{S}_\delta^{N_0+k\delta}} \chi_{\mathfrak{D}_j^{A_1}} f.$$

Seeing that the sum of $(k,\, j_1)$ is $\sim A^{\frac{3}{2}}$, for $\operatorname{supp} f \subset \mathfrak{D}_j^{A_1} \cap \mathbb{S}_\delta^{N_0+k\delta}$ with fixed $k,\, j_1$, we only need to verify

$$\left| \int f(\phi_{c_1}^+(\xi_1) + \phi_{c_2}^-(\xi_2)) g_1(\phi_{c_1}^+(\xi_1)) g_2(\phi_{c_2}^-(\xi_2)) d\xi_1 d\xi_2 \right| \lesssim A^{\frac{1}{2}} \|g_1 \circ \phi_{c_1}^+\|_{L_\xi^2} \|g_2 \circ \phi_{c_2}^-\|_{L_\xi^2} \|f\|_{L_{\xi,\tau}^2}. \tag{4.3}$$

We use the scaling $(\tau,\ \xi) \to (N_0\tau,\ N_0\xi)$ to define

$$\tilde{f}(\tau,\xi) = f(N_0\tau, N_0\xi), \quad \tilde{g_k}(\tau_k,\xi_k) = g_k(N_0\tau_k, N_0\xi_k).$$

If we set $\tilde{c_k} = N_0^{-1}c_k$, inequality (4.3) reduces to

$$\left|\int \tilde{f}(\phi^+_{\tilde{c_1}}(\xi_1)+\phi^-_{\tilde{c_2}}(\xi_2))\tilde{g_1}(\phi^+_{\tilde{c_1}}(\xi_1))\tilde{g_2}(\phi^-_{\tilde{c_2}}(\xi_2))d\xi_1 d\xi_2\right| \lesssim A^{\frac{1}{2}}N_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|\tilde{g_1}\circ\phi^+_{\tilde{c_1}}\|_{L^2_\xi}\|\tilde{g_2}\circ\phi^-_{\tilde{c_2}}\|_{L^2_\xi}\|\tilde{f}\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}}. \quad (4.4)$$

Note that $\tilde{f}$ is supported in $S_3^{\mp}(N_0^{-1})$ where

$$S_3^{\mp}(N_0^{-1}) = \left\{(\tau,\xi)\in\mathfrak{D}_j^{A_1}\cap\mathbb{S}^{1+k\tilde{\delta}}_{\tilde{\delta}} \mid \mp c|\xi| + \frac{c_0}{N_0} \le \tau \le \mp c|\xi| + \frac{c_0+1}{N_0}\right\}$$

with $\tilde{\delta} = N_0^{-1}\delta$. Thus from the ℓ^2 almost orthogonality, we may assume that there exist ξ_1^0, ξ_2^0 such that

$$\frac{N_1}{2N_0} \le |\xi_1^0| \le 4\frac{N_1}{N_0}, \quad \frac{N_2}{2N_0} \le |\xi_2^0| \le 4\frac{N_2}{N_0} \quad (4.5)$$

such that space variables of $\operatorname{supp}\tilde{g_1}\circ\phi^+_{\tilde{c_1}}$ and $\operatorname{supp}\tilde{g_2}\circ\phi^-_{\tilde{c_2}}$ are contained in the balls $B_{\tilde{\delta}}(\xi_1^0)$ and $B_{\tilde{\delta}}(\xi_2^0)$, respectively. By density and duality it suffices to show for continuous $\tilde{g_1}$ and $\tilde{g_2}$ that

$$\|\tilde{g_1}|_{S_1} * \tilde{g_2}|_{S_2}\|_{L^2(S_3^{\pm}(N_0^{-1}))} \lesssim A^{\frac{1}{2}}N_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|\tilde{g_1}\|_{L^2(S_1)}\|\tilde{g_2}\|_{L^2(S_2)} \quad (4.6)$$

where S_1, S_2 denote the following surfaces

$$S_1 = \{\phi^+_{\tilde{c_1}}(\xi_1)\in\mathbb{R}^3 \mid \xi_1\in B_{\tilde{\delta}}(\xi_1^0)\},$$
$$S_2 = \{\phi^-_{\tilde{c_2}}(\xi_2)\in\mathbb{R}^3 \mid \xi_2\in B_{\tilde{\delta}}(\xi_2^0)\}.$$

(4.6) is immediately established from

$$\|\tilde{g_1}|_{S_1} * \tilde{g_2}|_{S_2}\|_{L^2(S_3^{\pm})} \lesssim A^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\tilde{g_1}\|_{L^2(S_1)}\|\tilde{g_2}\|_{L^2(S_2)} \quad (4.7)$$

where

$$S_3^{\mp} = \{(\psi^{\mp}(\xi),\xi)\in\mathfrak{D}_j^{A_1}\cap\mathbb{S}^{1+k\tilde{\delta}}_{\tilde{\delta}} \mid \psi^{\mp}(\xi) = \mp c|\xi| + \frac{c_0}{N_0}\}.$$

For any $\sigma_i\in S_i$, $i=1,2,3$, there exist ξ_1, ξ_2, ξ such that

$$\sigma_1 = \phi^+_{\tilde{c_1}}(\xi_1), \quad \sigma_2 = \phi^+_{\tilde{c_2}}(\xi_2), \quad \sigma_3 = (\psi(\xi),\xi),$$

and the unit normals $\mathfrak{n}_i$ on σ_i are written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{n}_1(\sigma_1) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(-1,\ \frac{(\xi_1)_1}{|\xi_1|},\ \frac{(\xi_1)_2}{|\xi_1|}\right),\\
\mathfrak{n}_2(\sigma_2) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(1,\ \frac{(\xi_2)_1}{|\xi_2|},\ \frac{(\xi_2)_2}{|\xi_2|}\right),\\
\mathfrak{n}_3(\sigma_3) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{c^2+1}}\left(\pm 1,\ c\frac{(\xi)_1}{|\xi|},\ c\frac{(\xi)_2}{|\xi|}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

We deduce from $1 \lesssim |\xi|$ and (4.5) that the surfaces S_1, S_2, $S_3^{\mp}$ satisfy the following Hölder condition.

$$\sup_{\sigma_i,\sigma_i'\in S_i} \frac{|\mathfrak{n}(\sigma_i)-\mathfrak{n}_i(\sigma_i')|}{|\sigma_i-\sigma_i'|} + \frac{|\mathfrak{n}_i(\sigma_i)(\sigma_i-\sigma_i')|}{|\sigma_i-\sigma_i'|^2} \lesssim 1, \tag{4.8}$$

$$\sup_{\sigma_3,\sigma_3'\in S_3^{\pm}} \frac{|\mathfrak{n}(\sigma_3)-\mathfrak{n}_3(\sigma_3')|}{|\sigma_3-\sigma_3'|} + \frac{|\mathfrak{n}_3(\sigma_3)(\sigma_3-\sigma_3')|}{|\sigma_3-\sigma_3'|^2} \lesssim 1. \tag{4.9}$$

We may assume that there exist $\xi_1', \xi_2', \xi' \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that

$$\xi_1'+\xi_2'=\xi', \quad \phi_{\tilde{c}_1}^+(\xi_1') \in S_1,\ \phi_{\tilde{c}_2}^-(\xi_2') \in S_2,\ (\psi^{\mp}(\xi'),\xi') \in S_3^{\mp},$$

otherwise the left-hand side of (4.6) vanishes. Let $\sigma_1' = \phi_{\tilde{c}_1}^+(\xi_1')$, $\sigma_2' = \phi_{\tilde{c}_2}^-(\xi_2')$, $\sigma_3' = (\psi^{\mp}(\xi'),\xi')$. For any $\sigma_1 = \phi_{\tilde{c}_1}^+(\xi_1) \in S_1$, we deduce from ξ_1, $\xi_1' \in B_{\tilde{\delta}}(\xi_1^0)$ and $A \le M_1 \le 2^{10}(1-c)^{-1}N_1/N_0$ that

$$|\mathfrak{n}_1(\sigma_1)-\mathfrak{n}_1(\sigma_1')| \le 2^{-18}\frac{N_0}{N_1}(1-c)^2A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \le 2^{-8}(1-c)A^{-\frac{3}{2}}. \tag{4.10}$$

Similarly, for any $\sigma_2 = \phi_{\tilde{c}_2}^-(\xi_2) \in S_2$ we have

$$|\mathfrak{n}_2(\sigma_2)-\mathfrak{n}_2(\sigma_2')| \le 2^{-18}\frac{N_0}{N_2}(1-c)^2A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \le 2^{-8}(1-c)A^{-\frac{3}{2}}. \tag{4.11}$$

For any $\sigma_3 \in S_3^{\mp}$, it follows from $S_3^{\mp} \subset \mathfrak{D}_j^{A_1}$ that

$$|\mathfrak{n}_3(\sigma_3)-\mathfrak{n}_3(\sigma_3')| \le 2^{-10}(1-c)A^{-1}. \tag{4.12}$$

It is obvious that $|\sigma_1-\sigma_1'|$, $|\sigma_2-\sigma_2'| \le 2\tilde{\delta} \le 2^{-10}(1-c)^2A^{-1/2}$, then we get from (4.10) and (4.11) that

$$|(\sigma_1-\sigma_1')\cdot\mathfrak{n}_1(\sigma_1')| \le 2^{-15}(1-c)^2A^{-2}, \tag{4.13}$$

$$|(\sigma_2-\sigma_2')\cdot\mathfrak{n}_2(\sigma_2')| \le 2^{-15}(1-c)^2A^{-2}. \tag{4.14}$$

Similarly, we deduce from $\left|\sigma_3-\frac{|\sigma_3|}{|\sigma_3'|}\sigma_3'\right| \le 2^{-10}(1-c)^2A^{-1}$ and (4.12) that

$$|(\sigma_3-\sigma_3')\cdot\mathfrak{n}_3(\sigma_3')| = \left|\left(\sigma_3-\frac{|\sigma_3|}{|\sigma_3'|}\sigma_3'\right)\cdot\mathfrak{n}_3(\sigma_3')\right| \le 2^{-15}(1-c)^2A^{-2}. \tag{4.15}$$

(4.13) means that S_1 is contained in an slab of thickness $2^{-15}(1-c)^2A^{-2}$ with respect to the $\mathfrak{n}_1(\sigma_1')$ direction. From ℓ^2 orthogonality, we may assume that S_2 and S_3 are also contained in similar $2^{-15}(1-c)^2A^{-2}$ thick slabs;

$$|(\sigma_2-\sigma_2')\cdot\mathfrak{n}_1(\sigma_1')|\le 2^{-15}(1-c)^2A^{-2},$$
$$|(\sigma_3-\sigma_3')\cdot\mathfrak{n}_1(\sigma_1')|\le 2^{-15}(1-c)^2A^{-2}.$$

Similarly, we may assume that surfaces S_1, S_2 are contained in slabs of thickness $2^{-15}(1-c)^2A^{-2}$ with respect to the $\mathfrak{n}_2(\sigma_2')$ direction and the surfaces S_1, S_2 are contained in slabs of thickness $2^{-15}(1-c)^2A^{-2}$ with respect to the $\mathfrak{n}_3(\sigma_3')$ direction. Collection the above assumptions, for $i,j=1,2,3$,

$$|(\sigma_i-\sigma_i')\cdot\mathfrak{n}_j(\sigma_j')|\le 2^{-15}(1-c)^2A^{-2}. \tag{4.16}$$

We define $T:\mathbb{R}^3\to\mathbb{R}^3$ as

$$T=2^{-10}(1-c)^2A^{-2}(N^\top)^{-1},\qquad N=N(\sigma_1',\sigma_2',\sigma_3').$$

If the following conditions are established, we immediately obtain the desired estimate (4.7) by applying Proposition 4.4 with T and $\tilde{S}_i:=T^{-1}S_i$ $(i=1,2,3)$.

(I) $\dfrac{1-c}{2}A^{-1}\le|\det N(\sigma_1,\sigma_2,\sigma_3)|$ for any $\sigma_i\in S_i$.

(II) $\operatorname{diam}(\tilde{S}_i)<1$.

(III) $\dfrac{1}{2}\le\det(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}_1(\tilde{\sigma}_1),\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}_2(\tilde{\sigma}_2),\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}_3(\tilde{\sigma}_3))\le 1$ for any $\tilde{\sigma}_i\in\tilde{S}_i$.

(IV) $\displaystyle\sup_{\tilde{\sigma}_i,\tilde{\sigma}_i^0\in\tilde{S}_i}\frac{|\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}_i(\tilde{\sigma}_i)-\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}_i(\tilde{\sigma}_i^0)|}{|\tilde{\sigma}_i-\tilde{\sigma}_i^0|}+\frac{|\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}_i(\tilde{\sigma}_i^0)\cdot(\tilde{\sigma}_i-\tilde{\sigma}_i^0)|}{|\tilde{\sigma}_i-\tilde{\sigma}_i^0|^2}\le 1$ for the unit normals $\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}_i$ on $\tilde{S}_i$.

We first show (I). From (4.10)-(4.12) it suffices to show

$$(1-c)A^{-1}\le|\det N(\sigma_1',\sigma_2',\sigma_3')|. \tag{4.17}$$

Seeing that $\sigma_1'=\phi^+_{\tilde{c}_1}(\xi_1')$, $\sigma_2'=\phi^-_{\tilde{c}_2}(\xi_2')$, $\sigma_3'=(\psi^{\mp}(\xi'),\xi')$ and $\xi_1'+\xi_2'=\xi'$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}|\det N(\sigma_1',\sigma_2',\sigma_3')|\ge&\frac{1}{4}\left|\det\begin{pmatrix}-1 & 1 & \pm 1\\ \frac{(\xi_1')_1}{|\xi_1'|} & \frac{(\xi_2')_1}{|\xi_2'|} & c\frac{(\xi')_1}{|\xi'|}\\ \frac{(\xi_1')_2}{|\xi_1'|} & \frac{(\xi_2')_2}{|\xi_2'|} & c\frac{(\xi')_2}{|\xi'|}\end{pmatrix}\right|\\ \ge&\frac{1}{4}\left|\frac{(\xi_1')_1(\xi_2')_2-(\xi_1')_2(\xi_2')_2}{|\xi_1'||\xi_2'|}\right|\left(1-c\left|\frac{|\xi_2'|}{|\xi'|}-\frac{|\xi_1'|}{|\xi'|}\right|\right)\\ \ge&(1-c)A^{-1}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

(II) is established from (4.16).

$$|T^{-1}(\sigma_i-\sigma_i)| = 2^{10}(1-c)^{-2}A^2\left|\begin{pmatrix}\mathfrak{n}_1(\sigma_1')\cdot(\sigma_i-\sigma_i')\\ \mathfrak{n}_2(\sigma_2')\cdot(\sigma_i-\sigma_i')\\ \mathfrak{n}_3(\sigma_3')\cdot(\sigma_i-\sigma_i')\end{pmatrix}\right| \leq 2^{-3} < \frac{1}{2}.$$

Next we show (III). Note that the unit normals $\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}_i$ on $\tilde{S}_i$ are written as follows.

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}_i(\tilde{\sigma}_i) = \frac{(T^{-1})^\top \mathfrak{n}_i(T\tilde{\sigma}_i)}{|(T^{-1})^\top \mathfrak{n}_i(T\tilde{\sigma}_i)|} = \frac{N^{-1}\mathfrak{n}_i(T\tilde{\sigma}_i)}{|N^{-1}\mathfrak{n}_i(T\tilde{\sigma}_i)|}.$$

In particular, the unit normals on $T^{-1}\sigma_i'$ are the unit vectors e_i;

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}_i(T^{-1}\sigma_i') = N^{-1}\mathfrak{n}_i(\sigma_i') = e_i. \tag{4.19}$$

From (4.18), we get

$$\|N^{-1}\| = \|(N^\top)^{-1}\| \leq 2|\det N^\top|^{-1}\|N^\top\|^2 \leq 12(1-c)^{-1}A. \tag{4.20}$$

Thus we obtain

$$\|T\| \leq 2^{-6}(1-c)A^{-1}. \tag{4.21}$$

We deduce from (4.10)-(4.12), (4.19), (4.20) that

$$|N^{-1}\mathfrak{n}_i(T\tilde{\sigma}_i) - e_i| = |N^{-1}(\mathfrak{n}_i(T\tilde{\sigma}_i) - \mathfrak{n}_i(\sigma_i'))| \leq 2^{-7}. \tag{4.22}$$

This gives $|\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}_i(\tilde{\sigma}_i) - e_i| \leq 2^{-5}$ and (III) is now obtained. Finally we show (IV). It follows from (4.20)-(4.22) that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{|\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}_i(\tilde{\sigma}_i) - \tilde{\mathfrak{n}}_i(\tilde{\sigma}_i^0)|}{|\tilde{\sigma}_i - \tilde{\sigma}_i^0|} &\leq 3\frac{|N^{-1}(\mathfrak{n}_i(T\tilde{\sigma}_i) - \mathfrak{n}_i(T\tilde{\sigma}_i^0))|}{|\tilde{\sigma}_i - \tilde{\sigma}_i^0|}\\ &\leq 3\|N^{-1}\|\|T\|\frac{|\mathfrak{n}_i(T\tilde{\sigma}_i) - \mathfrak{n}_i(T\tilde{\sigma}_i^0)|}{|T\tilde{\sigma}_i - T\tilde{\sigma}_i^0|} \lesssim 1.\end{aligned}$$

The last inequality is verified from (4.8) and (4.9). Similarly, from (4.21) and $(T^{-1})^\top N^{-1} = 2^{10}(1-c)^{-2}A^2E$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{|\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}_i(\tilde{\sigma}_i^0)\cdot(\tilde{\sigma}_i - \tilde{\sigma}_i^0)|}{|\tilde{\sigma}_i - \tilde{\sigma}_i^0|^2} &\leq 2\|T\|^2\frac{|N^{-1}\mathfrak{n}_i(T\tilde{\sigma}_i^0)\cdot(T^{-1}T\tilde{\sigma}_i - T^{-1}T\tilde{\sigma}_i^0)|}{|T\tilde{\sigma}_i - T\tilde{\sigma}_i^0|^2}\\ &\leq 2\|T\|^2\frac{|(T^{-1})^\top N^{-1}\mathfrak{n}_i(T\tilde{\sigma}_i^0)\cdot(T\tilde{\sigma}_i - T\tilde{\sigma}_i^0)|}{|T\tilde{\sigma}_i - T\tilde{\sigma}_i^0|^2}\\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\frac{|\mathfrak{n}_i(T\tilde{\sigma}_i^0)\cdot(T\tilde{\sigma}_i - T\tilde{\sigma}_i^0)|}{|T\tilde{\sigma}_i - T\tilde{\sigma}_i^0|^2} \lesssim 1.\end{aligned}$$

This completes (IV). □

We now consider $0 \le \angle(\xi_1,\ \xi_2) \le \pi/2$. First we show the estimate which is similar to Proposition 4.3 for $64 \le A \le N_0^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $16 \le |j_1 - j_2| \le 32$. In this case, thanks to $0 \le \angle(\xi_1,\ \xi_2) \le \pi/2$, $N_0 \sim N_1 \sim N_2$ always holds true and we can obtain the better estimates compared to Proposition 4.3.

Proposition 4.5. *Let f, g_1, $g_2 \in L^2$ be satisfy*

$$\operatorname{supp} f \subset K^{\pm,c}_{N_0,L_0}, \quad \operatorname{supp} g_1 \subset \mathfrak{D}^A_{j_1} \cap K^-_{N_1,L_1}, \quad \operatorname{supp} g_2 \subset \mathfrak{D}^A_{j_2} \cap K^+_{N_2,L_2},$$

and $N_0 \sim N_1 \sim N_2$, $64 \le A \le N_0^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $16 \le |j_1 - j_2| \le 32$. Then the following estimate holds:

$$\left| \int f(\tau,\xi) g_1(\tau_1,\xi_1) g_2(\tau_2,\xi_2) d\tau_1 d\tau_2 d\xi_1 d\xi_2 \right| \lesssim A^{\frac{1}{2}} (L_0 L_1 L_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}} \|g_1\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}} \|g_2\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}}. \tag{4.23}$$

Proof. The proof is almost analogous to that of Proposition 4.3. Difference between them is a step of decomposition. Precisely, in the proof of Proposition 4.3, we decomposed f into $\sim A^{\frac{3}{2}}$ pieces. We here decompose functions into finite pieces. If A is not large, the estimates in Propositions 4.3 and 4.5 are equivalent. Thus we may assume that A is sufficiently large number. From $\operatorname{supp} g_1 \subset \mathfrak{D}^A_{j_1}$, $\operatorname{supp} g_2 \subset \mathfrak{D}^A_{j_2}$ and $16 \le |j_1 - j_2| \le 32$, after suitable and harmless decomposition, we can assume that there exists j such that $16 \le |j_1 - j| \le 32$ and $\operatorname{supp} f \in \mathfrak{D}^A_j$. Furthermore we decompose f, g_1, g_2 into finite pieces as follows;

$$f = \sum_{j'=j^0}^{j^0+k} \chi_{\mathfrak{D}^{A_1}_{j'}} f, \qquad g_1 = \sum_{j_1'=j_1^0}^{j_1^0+k} \chi_{\mathfrak{D}^{A_1}_{j_1'}} g_1, \qquad g_2 = \sum_{j_2'=j_2^0}^{j_2^0+k} \chi_{\mathfrak{D}^{A_1}_{j_2'}} g_2$$

where k is the minimal dyadic number which satisfies $k \ge 2^{20}(1-c)^{-2}$, $A_1 := kA$ and j^0, j_1^0, j_2^0 satisfy

$$\bigcup_{j^0 \le j' \le j^0+k} \mathfrak{D}^{A_1}_{j'} = \mathfrak{D}^A_j, \qquad \bigcup_{j_1^0 \le j_1' \le j_1^0+k} \mathfrak{D}^{A_1}_{j_1'} = \mathfrak{D}^A_{j_1}, \qquad \bigcup_{j_2^0 \le j_2' \le j_2^0+k} \mathfrak{D}^{A_1}_{j_2'} = \mathfrak{D}^A_{j_2}.$$

Thanks to the finiteness of k, it suffices to prove the desired estimate (4.23) for

$$\operatorname{supp} f \subset \mathfrak{D}^{A_1}_{j'}, \quad \operatorname{supp} g_1 \subset \mathfrak{D}^{A_1}_{j_1'}, \quad \operatorname{supp} g_2 \subset \mathfrak{D}^{A_1}_{j_2'}$$

with fixed $j' \in [j^0, j^0+k]$, $j_1' \in [j_1^0, j_1^0+k]$, $j_2' \in [j_2^0, j_2^0+k]$.

We utilize the same notations as in the proof of Proposition 4.3. By the same argument as of the proof of Proposition 4.3, we only need to verify the following estimate;

$$\|\tilde{g_1}|_{S_1} * \tilde{g_2}|_{S_2}\|_{L^2(S_3)} \lesssim A^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\tilde{g_1}\|_{L^2(S_1)} \|\tilde{g_2}\|_{L^2(S_2)} \tag{4.24}$$

where

$$S_1 = \left\{ \phi^+_{\tilde{c_1}}(\xi_1) \in \mathfrak{D}^{A_1}_{j_1'} \mid \frac{1-c}{4} \le |\xi_1| \le 2 \right\},$$
$$S_2 = \left\{ \phi^-_{\tilde{c_2}}(\xi_2) \in \mathfrak{D}^{A_1}_{j_2'} \mid \frac{1-c}{4} \le |\xi_2| \le 2 \right\},$$
$$S_3 = \left\{ (\psi^{\mp}(\xi), \xi) \in \mathfrak{D}^{A_1}_{j'} \mid \frac{1}{2} \le |\xi| \le 4,\ \psi^{\mp}(\xi) = \mp c|\xi| + \frac{c_0}{N_0} \right\}.$$

We recall that the unit normals on $\sigma_i \in S_i$ $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ are written as;

$$\mathfrak{n}_1(\sigma_1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(-1,\ \frac{(\xi_1)_1}{|\xi_1|},\ \frac{(\xi_1)_2}{|\xi_1|} \right),$$
$$\mathfrak{n}_2(\sigma_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(1,\ \frac{(\xi_2)_1}{|\xi_2|},\ \frac{(\xi_2)_2}{|\xi_2|} \right),$$
$$\mathfrak{n}_3(\sigma_3) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{c^2+1}} \left(\pm 1,\ c\frac{(\xi)_1}{|\xi|},\ c\frac{(\xi)_2}{|\xi|} \right).$$

where

$$\sigma_1 = \phi^+_{\tilde{c_1}}(\xi_1), \quad \sigma_2 = \phi^+_{\tilde{c_2}}(\xi_2), \quad \sigma_3 = (\psi(\xi), \xi).$$

We may assume that there exist $\xi_1', \xi_2', \xi' \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that

$$\xi_1' + \xi_2' = \xi', \quad (\sigma_1' :=)\phi^+_{\tilde{c_1}}(\xi_1') \in S_1,\ (\sigma_2' :=)\phi^-_{\tilde{c_2}}(\xi_2') \in S_2,\ (\sigma_3' :=)(\psi^{\mp}(\xi'), \xi') \in S_3.$$

From $S_1 \subset \mathfrak{D}^{A_1}_{j_1'}$, $S_2 \subset \mathfrak{D}^{A_1}_{j_2'}$ and $S_3 \subset \mathfrak{D}^{A_1}_{j'}$, we easily observe

$$|\mathfrak{n}_1(\sigma_1) - \mathfrak{n}_1(\sigma_1')| \le 2^{-10}(1-c)A^{-1}, \tag{4.25}$$
$$|\mathfrak{n}_2(\sigma_2) - \mathfrak{n}_2(\sigma_2')| \le 2^{-10}(1-c)A^{-1}, \tag{4.26}$$
$$|\mathfrak{n}_3(\sigma_3) - \mathfrak{n}_3(\sigma_3')| \le 2^{-10}(1-c)A^{-1}. \tag{4.27}$$

The above estimates (4.25)-(4.27) give

$$|(\sigma_1 - \sigma_1') \cdot \mathfrak{n}_1(\sigma_1')| = \left| \left(\sigma_1 - \frac{|\sigma_1|}{|\sigma_1'|}\sigma_1' \right) \cdot \mathfrak{n}_1(\sigma_1') \right| \le 2^{-20}(1-c)^2A^{-2},$$
$$|(\sigma_2 - \sigma_2') \cdot \mathfrak{n}_2(\sigma_2')| = \left| \left(\sigma_2 - \frac{|\sigma_2|}{|\sigma_2'|}\sigma_2' \right) \cdot \mathfrak{n}_2(\sigma_2') \right| \le 2^{-20}(1-c)^2A^{-2},$$
$$|(\sigma_3 - \sigma_3') \cdot \mathfrak{n}_3(\sigma_3')| = \left| \left(\sigma_3 - \frac{|\sigma_3|}{|\sigma_3'|}\sigma_3' \right) \cdot \mathfrak{n}_3(\sigma_3') \right| \le 2^{-20}(1-c)^2A^{-2}.$$

By the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 4.3, we can assume

$$|(\sigma_i - \sigma_i') \cdot \mathfrak{n}_j(\sigma_j')| \le 2^{-20}(1-c)^2A^{-2} \quad \text{for any } i, j = 1, 2, 3. \tag{4.28}$$

The remaining part is only to prove (I)-(IV) in Proposition 4.3 with

$$T = 2^{-10}(1-c)^2A^{-2}(N^{\top})^{-1}, \qquad N = N(\sigma_1', \sigma_2', \sigma_3')$$

and $\tilde{S}_i := T^{-1}S_i$ $(i = 1, 2, 3)$. (I)-(IV) are verified from (4.25)-(4.28) as we proved in the proof of Proposition 4.3. To avoid redundancy, we omit the proof of them. □

Lastly, we consider the case of sufficiently small $\angle(\xi_1, \xi_2)$.

Proposition 4.6. *Let f, g_1, $g_2 \in L^2$ and M_0 is the minimal dyadic number which satisfies $N_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \le M_0$. We assume that f, g_1, g_2 satisfy*

$$\operatorname{supp} f \subset K^{\pm,c}_{N_0,L_0}, \quad \operatorname{supp} g_1 \subset \mathfrak{D}^{M_0}_{j_1} \cap K^{-}_{N_1,L_1}, \quad \operatorname{supp} g_2 \subset \mathfrak{D}^{M_0}_{j_2} \cap K^{+}_{N_2,L_2},$$

with $N_0 \sim N_1 \sim N_2$, $|j_1 - j_2| \le 16$. Then the following estimate holds:

$$\left| \int f(\tau,\xi) g_1(\tau_1,\xi_1) g_2(\tau_2,\xi_2) d\tau_1 d\tau_2 d\xi_1 d\xi_2 \right| \lesssim N_0^{\frac{1}{4}} (L_0 L_{\min}^{12})^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}} \|g_1\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}} \|g_2\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}}. \quad (4.29)$$

Proof. From $\operatorname{supp} g_1 \subset \mathfrak{D}^{M_0}_{j_1}$ and $\operatorname{supp} g_2 \subset \mathfrak{D}^{M_0}_{j_2}$, we may assume $\operatorname{supp} f \subset \mathfrak{D}^{M_0}_{j}$. We also assume $L_1 \le L_2$ by symmetry. By Hölder inequality, (4.29) is established if we show

$$\|P_{K^{+}_{N_2,L_2}}((P_{K^{\pm,c}_{N_0,L_0}} f)(P_{K^{-}_{N_1,L_1}} g_1))\|_{L^2_{x,t}} \lesssim N_0^{\frac{1}{4}} (L_0 L_1)^{1/2} \|f\|_{L^2_{x,t}} \|g_1\|_{L^2_{x,t}}. \quad (4.30)$$

It is easily confirmed that (4.30) can be verified by the proof of Proposition 2.2 with minor modification. Indeed, same as in the proof of Proposition 2.2, we find that the desired estimate (4.30) is shown by

$$\sup_{\tau,\xi} |E(\tau,\xi)| \lesssim N_0^{\frac{1}{2}} L_0 L_1 \quad (4.31)$$

where

$$E(\tau,\xi) := \{(\tau_1,\xi_1) \in \mathfrak{D}^{M_0}_0 \mid \langle \tau - \tau_1 \pm c|\xi - \xi_1| \rangle \sim L_0, \langle \tau_1 - |\xi_1| \rangle \sim L_1\}.$$

Applying the same proof as in Proposition 2.2, we immediately obtain (4.31) thanks to $N_1 M_0^{-1} \sim N_0^{\frac{1}{2}}$. □

We now prove the crucial estimates (3.1) and (3.2) with $\pm_2 = +$ and $N_0 \lesssim N_1 \sim N_2$.

Theorem 4.7. *For any $s \in (-\frac{13}{18},\ 0)$, there exists $b \in (1/2,\ 1)$ such that for $f, g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2)$, the following estimates hold:*

$$\sum_{N_1} \sum_{1 \le N_0 \lesssim N_1 \sim N_2} \sum_{L_j} I_1^{+} \lesssim \|f\|_{X^{s,b}_{\pm,c}} \|g_1\|_{X^{s,b}_{-}} \|g_2\|_{X^{-s,1-b}_{+}}, \quad (4.32)$$

$$\sum_{N_1} \sum_{1 \le N_0 \lesssim N_1 \sim N_2} \sum_{L_j} I_2^{+} \lesssim \|f\|_{X^{-s,1-b}_{\pm,c}} \|g_1\|_{X^{s,b}_{-}} \|g_2\|_{X^{s,b}_{+}}, \quad (4.33)$$

where

$$I_1^+ := \left| N_1^{-1} \int (P_{K^{\pm,c}_{N_0,L_0}} f)(P_{K^-_{N_1,L_1}} g_1)(P_{K^+_{N_2,L_2}} g_2) dtdx \right|,$$
$$I_2^+ := \left| N_0 N_1^{-1} N_2^{-1} \int (P_{K^{\pm,c}_{N_0,L_0}} f)(P_{K^-_{N_1,L_1}} g_1)(P_{K^+_{N_2,L_2}} g_2) dtdx \right|.$$

Proof. We first note that if $N_1 \lesssim L_{\max}^{012}$ then (4.32) and (4.33) are obtained by the same proof as that of Theorem 3.2. Therefore we can assume $L_{\max}^{012} \lesssim N_1$. We can also assume that $1 \ll N_0$. Indeed, if $N_0 \sim 1$ (4.32) and (4.33) are immediately obtained by using Proposition 2.1 as $N_0 \sim 1$.

If $s \in (-\frac{13}{18},\ -\frac{1}{2})$, considering $N_0 \lesssim N_1 \sim N_2$, we observe that the latter estimate (4.33) is difficult to show compared with the former one. Clearly, the proof of (4.32) and (4.33) become easier as s gets greater. Therefore, we here focus our attention on proving (4.33) for $s \in (-\frac{13}{18},\ -\frac{1}{2})$.

Considering (4.33) in Fourier side, it is easily confirmed that (4.33) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{N_1} \sum_{N_0 \lesssim N_1 \sim N_2} \sum_{L_j \lesssim N_1} N_0 N_1^{-2} \left| \int f^{\pm,c}(\tau_1+\tau_2, \xi_1+\xi_2) g_1^-(\tau_1,\xi_1) g_2^+(\tau_2,\xi_2) d\tau_1 d\tau_2 d\xi_1 d\xi_2 \right|$$
$$\lesssim \|f\|_{\widehat{X}^{-s,\,1-b}_{\pm,\,c}} \|g_1\|_{\widehat{X}^{s,\,b}_-} \|g_2\|_{\widehat{X}^{s,\,b}_+}, \tag{4.34}$$

Here we utilized the redefined denotations $f^{\pm,c} := \chi_{K^{\pm,c}_{N_0,L_0}} f$, $g_1^- := \chi_{K^-_{N_1,L_1}} g$, $g_2^+ := \chi_{K^+_{N_2,L_2}}$, and the norms

$$\|\widehat{\cdot}\|_{\widehat{X}^{-s,\,1-b}_{\pm,\,c}} = \|\cdot\|_{X^{-s,\,1-b}_{\pm,\,c}}, \quad \|\widehat{\cdot}\|_{\widehat{X}^{s,\,b}_-} = \|\cdot\|_{X^{s,\,b}_-}, \quad \|\widehat{\cdot}\|_{\widehat{X}^{s,\,b}_+} = \|\cdot\|_{X^{s,\,b}_+}.$$

For simplicity, we use

$$I(f,g,h) := N_0 N_1^{-2} \left| \int f(\tau,\xi) g(\tau_1,\xi_1) h(\tau_2,\xi_2) d\tau_1 d\tau_2 d\xi_1 d\xi_2 \right|$$

where $\tau = \tau_1 + \tau_2$ and $\xi = \xi_1 + \xi_2$. By the decomposition of $\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$

$$\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 = \bigcup_{\substack{-M_0 \le j_1, j_2 \le M_0 - 1 \\ |j_1 - j_2| \le 16}} \mathfrak{D}^{M_0}_{j_1} \times \mathfrak{D}^{M_0}_{j_2} \cup \bigcup_{64 \le A \le M_0} \bigcup_{\substack{-A \le j_1, j_2 \le A-1 \\ 16 \le |j_1 - j_2| \le 32}} \mathfrak{D}^{A}_{j_1} \times \mathfrak{D}^{A}_{j_2}$$
$$\cup \bigcup_{\substack{-M_1 \le j_1, j_2 \le M_1 - 1 \\ |j_1 - j_2 \pm M_1| \le 16}} \mathfrak{D}^{M_1}_{j_1} \times \mathfrak{D}^{M_1}_{j_2} \cup \bigcup_{64 \le A \le M_1} \bigcup_{\substack{-A \le j_1, j_2 \le A+1 \\ 16 \le |j_1 - j_2 \pm A| \le 32}} \mathfrak{D}^{A}_{j_1} \times \mathfrak{D}^{A}_{j_2}.$$

where M_0 and M_1 are the minimal dyadic number which satisfies respectively

$$N_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \le M_0, \qquad 2^7 (1-c)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{(N_1 N_2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{N_0} \le M_1,$$

we only need to show

$$\text{(I)} \sum_{N_0\sim N_1\sim N_2} \sum_{L_j\lesssim N_1} \sum_{\substack{-M_0\le j_1,j_2\le M_0-1\\ |j_1-j_2|\le 16}} I(f^{\pm,c}, g_1^{-,M_0,j_1}, g_2^{+,M_0,j_2}) \lesssim \|f\|_{\widehat{X}^{-s,\,1-b}_{\pm,\,c}} \|g_1\|_{\widehat{X}^{s,\,b}_{-}} \|g_2\|_{\widehat{X}^{s,\,b}_{+}},$$

$$\text{(II)} \sum_{N_0\sim N_1\sim N_2} \sum_{L_j\lesssim N_1} \sum_{64\le A\le M_0} \sum_{\substack{-M_0\le j_1,j_2\le M_0-1\\ |j_1-j_2|\le 16}} I(f^{\pm,c}, g_1^{-,A,j_1}, g_2^{+,A,j_2}) \lesssim \|f\|_{\widehat{X}^{-s,\,1-b}_{\pm,\,c}} \|g_1\|_{\widehat{X}^{s,\,b}_{-}} \|g_2\|_{\widehat{X}^{s,\,b}_{+}},$$

$$\text{(III)} \sum_{N_1} \sum_{1\ll N_0\lesssim N_1\sim N_2} \sum_{L_j\lesssim N_1} \sum_{\substack{-M_1\le j_1,j_2\le M_1-1\\ |j_1-j_2\pm M_1|\le 16}} I(f^{\pm,c}, g_1^{-,M_1,j_1}, g_2^{+,M_1,j_2}) \lesssim \|f\|_{\widehat{X}^{-s,\,1-b}_{\pm,\,c}} \|g_1\|_{\widehat{X}^{s,\,b}_{-}} \|g_2\|_{\widehat{X}^{s,\,b}_{+}},$$

$$\text{(IV)} \sum_{N_1} \sum_{1\ll N_0\lesssim N_1\sim N_2} \sum_{L_j\lesssim N_1} \sum_{64\le A\le M_1} \sum_{\substack{-A\le j_1,j_2\le A-1\\ |j_1-j_2\pm A|\le 16}} I(f^{\pm,c}, g_1^{-,A,j_1}, g_2^{+,A,j_2}) \lesssim \|f\|_{\widehat{X}^{-s,\,1-b}_{\pm,\,c}} \|g_1\|_{\widehat{X}^{s,\,b}_{-}} \|g_2\|_{\widehat{X}^{s,\,b}_{+}},$$

where $g_1^{-,A,j_1} := g_1^-|_{\mathfrak{D}^A_{j_1}}$ and $g_2^{+,A,j_2} := g_2^+|_{\mathfrak{D}^A_{j_2}}$. We further simplify (I)-(IV). From ℓ^2 Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and $L_j \lesssim N_1$, it suffices to show that there exists $0<\varepsilon<1$ such that the following estimates hold;

$$\text{(I)}' \sum_{\substack{-M_0\le j_1,j_2\le M_0-1\\ |j_1-j_2|\le 16}} I(f^{\pm,c}, g_1^{-,M_0,j_1}, g_2^{+,M_0,j_2}) \lesssim N_0^{s-\varepsilon}(L_0L_1L_2)^{\frac12} \|f^{\pm,c}\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}} \|g_1^-\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}} \|g_2^+\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}},$$

$$\text{(II)}' \sum_{64\le A\le M_0} \sum_{\substack{-A\le j_1,j_2\le A-1\\ |j_1-j_2|\le 16}} I(f^{\pm,c}, g_1^{-,A,j_1}, g_2^{+,A,j_2}) \lesssim N_0^{s-\varepsilon}(L_0L_1L_2)^{\frac12} \|f^{\pm,c}\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}} \|g_1^-\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}} \|g_2^+\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}},$$

$$\text{(III)}' \sum_{\substack{-M_1\le j_1,j_2\le M_1-1\\ |j_1-j_2\pm M_1|\le 16}} I(f^{\pm,c}, g_1^{-,M_1,j_1}, g_2^{+,M_1,j_2}) \lesssim N_0^{-s}N_1^{2s-\varepsilon}(L_0L_1L_2)^{\frac12} \|f^{\pm,c}\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}} \|g_1^-\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}} \|g_2^+\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}},$$

$$\text{(IV)}' \sum_{64\le A\le M_1} \sum_{\substack{-A\le j_1,j_2\le A-1\\ |j_1-j_2\pm A|\le 16}} I(f^{\pm,c}, g_1^{-,A,j_1}, g_2^{+,A,j_2}) \lesssim N_0^{-s}N_1^{2s-\varepsilon}(L_0L_1L_2)^{\frac12} \|f^{\pm,c}\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}} \|g_1^-\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}} \|g_2^+\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}}.$$

If $-3/4 < s$, (I)$'$ is immediately established by using Proposition 4.5.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\substack{-M_0\le j_1,j_2\le M_0-1\\ |j_1-j_2|\le 16}} I(f^{\pm,c}, g_1^{-,M_0,j_1}, g_2^{+,M_0,j_2})\\
&\sim \sum_{\substack{-M_0\le j_1,j_2\le M_0-1\\ |j_1-j_2|\le 16}} N_0^{-1}\left|\int f^{\pm,c}(\tau,\xi) g_1^{-,M_0,j_1}(\tau_1,\xi_1) g_2^{+,M_0,j_2}(\tau_2,\xi_2) d\tau_1 d\tau_2 d\xi_1 d\xi_2\right|\\
&\lesssim N_0^{-\frac{3}{4}}(L_0L_1)^{\frac12}\|f^{\pm,c}\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}} \sum_{\substack{-M_0\le j_1,j_2\le M_0-1\\ |j_1-j_2|\le 16}} \|g_1^{-,M_0,j_1}\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}}\|g_2^{+,M_0,j_2}\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}},\\
&\lesssim N_0^{-\frac{3}{4}}(L_0L_1L_2)^{\frac12}\|f^{\pm,c}\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}}\|g_1^{-}\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}}\|g_2^{+}\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Next we prove (II)$'$. It follows from Proposition 4.5 that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{64\le A\le M_0}\ \sum_{\substack{-A\le j_1,j_2\le A-1\\ |j_1-j_2|\le 16}} I(f^{\pm,c}, g_1^{-,A,j_1}, g_2^{+,A,j_2})\\
&\sim \sum_{64\le A\le M_0}\ \sum_{\substack{-A\le j_1,j_2\le A-1\\ |j_1-j_2|\le 16}} N_0^{-1}\left|\int f^{\pm,c}(\tau,\xi) g_1^{-,A,j_1}(\tau_1,\xi_1) g_2^{+,A,j_2}(\tau_2,\xi_2) d\tau_1 d\tau_2 d\xi_1 d\xi_2\right|\\
&\lesssim \sum_{64\le A\le M_0} N_0^{-1}A^{\frac12}(L_0L_1L_2)^{\frac12}\|f^{\pm,c}\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}} \sum_{\substack{-A\le j_1,j_2\le A-1\\ |j_1-j_2|\le 16}} \|g_1^{-,A,j_1}\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}}\|g_2^{+,A,j_2}\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}}\\
&\lesssim N_0^{-\frac{3}{4}}(L_0L_1L_2)^{\frac12}\|f^{\pm,c}\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}}\|g_1^{-}\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}}\|g_2^{+}\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}}.
\end{aligned}$$

(III)$'$ is verified as follows. By Lemma 4.2, we have $N_0 \lesssim L_{\max}^{012}$. For the sake of simplicity, we here consider the case of $N_0 \lesssim L_0$. The other cases can be proved similarly. If $-3/4 < s$, we deduce from Proposition 2.1 and Hölder inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\substack{-M_1\le j_1,j_2\le M_1-1\\ |j_1-j_2\pm M_1|\le 16}} I(f^{\pm,c}, g_1^{-,M_1,j_1}, g_2^{+,M_1,j_2})\\
\sim& N_0N_1^{-2} \sum_{\substack{-M_1\le j_1,j_2\le M_1-1\\ |j_1-j_2\pm M_1|\le 16}} \left|\int f^{\pm,c}(\tau,\xi) g_1^{-,M_1,j_1}(\tau_1,\xi_1) g_2^{+,M_1,j_2}(\tau_2,\xi_2) d\tau_1 d\tau_2 d\xi_1 d\xi_2\right|\\
\lesssim& N_0N_1^{-2} \sum_{\substack{-M_1\le j_1,j_2\le M_1-1\\ |j_1-j_2\pm M_1|\le 16}} \|\chi_{K^{\pm,c}_{N_0,L_0}}(g_1^{-,M_1,j_1} * g_2^{+,M_1,j_2})\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}}\|f^{\pm,c}\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}}\\
\lesssim& N_0N_1^{-2}N_0^{\frac12}N_1^{\frac14}L_1^{\frac12}L_2^{\frac14}N_0^{-\frac12}L_0^{\frac12}\|f^{\pm,c}\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}} \sum_{\substack{-M_1\le j_1,j_2\le M_1-1\\ |j_1-j_2\pm M_1|\le 16}} \|g_1^{-,M_0,j_1}\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}}\|g_2^{+,M_0,j_2}\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}}.\\
\lesssim& N_0^{-s}N_1^{2s-\varepsilon}(L_0L_1L_2)^{\frac12}\|f^{\pm,c}\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}}\|g_1^{-}\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}}\|g_2^{+}\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Lastly, we prove (IV)$'$. We use the two estimations depending on N_0 and N_1. Precisely, we utilize Proposition 2.2 if $N_0^7 \lesssim N_1^5$, and if not so, we employ Proposition

4.3. We first assume $N_0^7 \lesssim N_1^5$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{64\le A\le M_1}\sum_{\substack{-A\le j_1,j_2\le A-1\\ |j_1-j_2\pm A|\le 16}} I(f^{\pm,c}, g_1^{-,A,j_1}, g_2^{+,A,j_2})\\
\sim& N_0N_1^{-2}\sum_{64\le A\le M_1}\sum_{\substack{-A\le j_1,j_2\le A-1\\ |j_1-j_2\pm A|\le 16}}\left|\int f^{\pm,c}(\tau,\xi)g_1^{-,A,j_1}(\tau_1,\xi_1)g_2^{+,A,j_2}(\tau_2,\xi_2)d\tau_1d\tau_2d\xi_1d\xi_2\right|\\
\lesssim& N_0N_1^{-2}\sum_{64\le A\le M_1}\sum_{\substack{-A\le j_1,j_2\le A-1\\ |j_1-j_2\pm A|\le 16}}\|\chi_{K^-_{N_1,L_1}}(f^{\pm,c}*g_2^{+,A,j_2})\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}}\|g_1^{-,A,j_1}\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}}\\
\lesssim& N_0N_1^{-2}N_0^{\frac12}(L_0L_2)^{\frac12}\sum_{64\le A\le M_1}\|f^{\pm,c}\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}}\sum_{\substack{-A\le j_1,j_2\le A-1\\ |j_1-j_2\pm A|\le 16}}\|g_1^{-,A,j_1}\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}}\|g_2^{+,A,j_2}\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}}.\\
\lesssim& N_0^{\frac32}N_1^{-2+\varepsilon}(L_0L_1L_2)^{\frac12}\|f^{\pm,c}\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}}\|g_1^-\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}}\|g_2^+\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}}\\
\lesssim& N_0^{\frac32}N_1^{-2-2s+2\varepsilon}N_1^{2s-\varepsilon}(L_0L_1L_2)^{\frac12}\|f^{\pm,c}\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}}\|g_1^-\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}}\|g_2^+\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}}\\
\lesssim& N_0^{-\frac{1}{10}(13+28s-28\varepsilon)}N_1^{2s-\varepsilon}(L_0L_1L_2)^{\frac12}\|f^{\pm,c}\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}}\|g_1^-\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}}\|g_2^+\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}}.
\end{aligned}$$

If we fix ε as $\varepsilon=\frac{18}{28}(s+\frac{13}{18})>0$, this means (IV)′. We next assume $N_0^7\gtrsim N_1^5$. From Proposition 4.3 and $M_1\sim N_1/N_0$, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{64\le A\le M_1}\sum_{\substack{-A\le j_1,j_2\le A-1\\ |j_1-j_2\pm A|\le 16}} I(f^{\pm,c}, g_1^{-,A,j_1}, g_2^{+,A,j_2})\\
\sim& N_0N_1^{-2}\sum_{64\le A\le M_1}\sum_{\substack{-A\le j_1,j_2\le A-1\\ |j_1-j_2\pm A|\le 16}}\left|\int f^{\pm,c}(\tau,\xi)g_1^{-,A,j_1}(\tau_1,\xi_1)g_2^{+,A,j_2}(\tau_2,\xi_2)d\tau_1d\tau_2d\xi_1d\xi_2\right|\\
\lesssim& N_0N_1^{-2}\sum_{64\le A\le M_1}A^{\frac54}(L_0L_1L_2)^{\frac12}\|f^{\pm,c}\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}}\sum_{\substack{-A\le j_1,j_2\le A-1\\ |j_1-j_2\pm A|\le 16}}\|g_1^{-,A,j_1}\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}}\|g_2^{+,A,j_2}\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}}\\
\lesssim& N_0N_1^{-2}N_1^{\frac54}N_0^{-\frac54}(L_0L_1L_2)^{\frac12}\|f^{\pm,c}\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}}\|g_1^-\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}}\|g_2^+\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}}\\
\lesssim& N_0^{-\frac14}N_1^{-\frac34}(L_0L_1L_2)^{\frac12}\|f^{\pm,c}\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}}\|g_1^-\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}}\|g_2^+\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}}\\
\lesssim& N_0^{-s}N_0^{s-\frac14}N_1^{-\frac34}(L_0L_1L_2)^{\frac12}\|f^{\pm,c}\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}}\|g_1^-\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}}\|g_2^+\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}}\\
\lesssim& N_0^{-s}N_1^{2s-\frac{1}{14}(18s+13)}(L_0L_1L_2)^{\frac12}\|f^{\pm,c}\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}}\|g_1^-\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}}\|g_2^+\|_{L^2_{\xi,\tau}}.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of (IV)′. □

References

[1] I. Bejenaru, S. Herr, J. Holmer, and D. Tataru, *On the 2D Zakharov system with L^2 Schrödinger data*, Nonlinearity **22** (2009), 1063–1089.

[2] I. Bejenaru, S. Herr, *Convolutions of singular measures and applications to the Zakharov system*, J. Funct. Anal. **261** (2011), 478–506.

[3] I. Bejenaru, S. Herr, and D. Tataru, *A convolution estimate for two-dimensional hypersurfaces*, Rev. Mat. Iberoam. **26** (2010), 707–728.

[4] J. Ginibre, Y. Tsutsumi, and G. Velo, *On the Cauchy problem for the Zakharov system*, J. Funct. Anal. **151** (1997), no. 2, 384–436.

[5] I. Kato, *Well-posedness for the Cauchy problem of the Klein-Gordon-Zakharov system in four and more spatial dimensions*, Comm. Pure. Appl. Anal. **15** (2016), no. 6, 2247–2280.

[6] C. Kenig, G. Ponce, and L. Vega, *A bilinear estimate with applications to the KdV equation*, J. Amer. Soc. **9** (1996), 573–603.

[7] H. Koch, D. Tataru, *Dispersive estimates for principally normal pseudodifferential operators*, Comm. Pure. Appl. Math. **58** (2) (2005), 217–284.

[8] H. Lindblad, *A sharp counterexample to the local existence of low-regularity solutions to nonlinear wave equations* , Duke Math.J. **72** (1993), 503–539.

[9] H. Lindblad, *Counterexamples to local existence for semi-linear wave equations*, Amer. J. Math. **118** (1996), 1–16.

[10] T. Ozawa, K. Tsutaya, and Y. Tsutsumi, *Well-posedness in energy space for the Cauchy problem of the Klein-Gordon-Zakharov equations with different propagation speeds in three space dimensions*, Math. Ann. **313** (1999), no. 1, 127–140.

[11] S. Selberg, *Bilinear Fourier restriction estimates related to the 2D wave equation*, Adv. Diff. Eq. **16** (2011), no. 7–8, 667–690.

[12] T. Tao, *Nonlinear Dispersive Equations: Local and Global Analysis*, AMS (2006).

(Shinya Kinoshita) Graduate School of Mathematics, Nagoya University, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya, 464-8602, Japan

E-mail address, Shinya Kinoshita: m12018b@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp